# Positivity properties of some special matrices

Priyanka Grover \*, Veer Singh Panwar †, A. Satyanarayana Reddy<sup>‡</sup>
Department of Mathematics
Shiv Nadar University, Dadri
U.P. 201314, India.

#### Abstract

It is shown that for positive real numbers  $0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n$ ,  $\left[\frac{1}{\beta(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)}\right]$ , where  $\beta(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the beta function, is infinitely divisible and totally positive. For  $\left[\frac{1}{\beta(i,j)}\right]$ , the Cholesky decomposition and successive elementary bidiagonal decomposition are computed. Let  $\mathfrak{w}(n)$  be the *n*th Bell number. It is proved that  $[\mathfrak{w}(i+j)]$  is a totally positive matrix but is infinitely divisible only upto order 4. It is also shown that the symmetrized Stirling matrices are totally positive.

AMS classification: 15B48, 42A82,15B36

**Keywords**: Bell numbers, infinitely divisible matrices, positive semidefinite matrices, Schur product, Stirling numbers, totally positive matrices, the beta function.

### 1 Introduction

Let  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the set of all  $n \times n$  complex matrices. A matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is said to be positive semidefinite if  $x^*Ax \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  and positive definite if  $x^*Ax > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $x \neq 0$ . Let  $A = [a_{ij}]$  and  $B = [b_{ij}]$ . In this paper,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , unless otherwise specified. The Hadamard product or the Schur product of two matrices A and B is denoted by  $A \circ B$ , where  $A \circ B = [a_{ij}b_{ij}]$ . For a nonnegative real number r,  $A^{\circ r} = [a_{ij}^r]$ .

Let  $A = [a_{ij}]$  be such that  $a_{ij} \geq 0$ . The matrix A is called *infinitely divisible* if  $A^{\circ r}$  is positive semidefinite for every real number r > 0. For examples and properties of infinitely divisible matrices, see [3, 7, 15]. The matrix A is called *totally positive* or *totally nonnegative* if all its minors are positive or nonnegative respectively. For more results on these, see [12]. The main objective of this paper is to explore the above mentioned properties for a few matrices which are constructed from interesting functions. Many such matrices have been studied in [3, 7, 5, 6]. A famous example of such a matrix is the Hilbert matrix  $\left[\frac{1}{i+j-1}\right]$ . Another important example is the Pascal matrix  $\mathcal{P} = \left[\binom{i+j}{i}\right]_{i,j=0}^n$ . Both of these are known to be infinitely divisible and totally positive. In [11], *Cholesky decomposition* of  $\mathcal{P}$  was given, that is, a lower triangular matrix L was obtained such that  $\mathcal{P} = LL^*$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \left[\frac{1}{\beta(i,j)}\right]$ , where  $\beta(\cdot,\cdot)$  is the *beta function*. We call  $\mathcal{B}$  as the *beta matrix*. By definition,

$$\beta(i,j) = \frac{\Gamma(i)\Gamma(j)}{\Gamma(i+j)},$$

where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the Gamma function. Thus

$$\mathcal{B} = \left[ \frac{(i+j-1)!}{(i-1)!(j-1)!} \right].$$

<sup>\*</sup>The research of this author is supported by INSPIRE Faculty Award IFA-14-MA52 of DST, India, and by Early Career Research Award ECR/2018/001784 of SERB, India. Email: priyanka.grover@snu.edu.in

<sup>†</sup>Email: vs728@snu.edu.in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Email: satyanarayana.reddy@snu.edu.in

Note that the entries of this matrix look similar to those of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Using the infinite divisibility and total positivity of  $\mathcal{P}$ , we show that  $\mathcal{B}$  is infinitely divisible and totally positive, respectively. We also compute the Cholesky decomposition of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Let  $E_{i,j}$  be the matrix whose (i,j)th entry is 1 and others are zero. For any complex numbers s,t, let

$$L_i(s) = I + sE_{i,i-1}$$
 and  $U_j(t) = I + tE_{j-1,j}$ ,

where  $2 \le i, j \le n$ . Matrices of the form  $L_i(s)$  or  $U_j(t)$  are called elementary bidiagonal matrices. For a vector  $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$ , let diag $([d_i])$  denote the diagonal matrix diag $(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$ . An  $n \times n$  matrix is totally positive if and only if it can be written as  $(L_n(l_k) L_{n-1}(l_{k-1}) \cdots L_2(l_{k-n+2}))$ 

 $(L_n(l_{k-n+1}) L_{n-1}(l_{k-n}) \cdots L_3(l_{k-2n+4})) \cdots (L_n(l_1)) D(U_n(u_1)) (U_{n-1}(u_2) U_n(u_3)) \cdots (U_2(u_{k-n+2}) \cdots U_{n-1}(u_{k-1}) U_n(u_k)),$  where  $k = \binom{n}{2}$ ,  $l_i, u_j > 0$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and  $D = \text{diag}[(d_i)]$  is a diagonal matrix with all  $d_i > 0$  [12, Corollary 2.2.3]. This particular factorization (with  $l_i, u_j \geq 0$ ) is called successive elementary bidiagonal (SEB) factorization or Neville factorization. We obtain the SEB factorization for  $\left[\frac{1}{\beta(i,j)}\right]$  explicitly.

Let  $(x)_0 = 1$  and for a positive integer k, let  $(x)_k = x(x-1)(x-2)\cdots(x-k+1)$ . The Stirling numbers of first kind s(n,k) [9, p. 213] and the Stirling numbers of second kind S(n,k) [9, p. 207] are respectively defined as

$$(x)_n = \sum_{k=0}^n s(n,k)x^k$$

and

$$x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n S(n,k)(x)_k.$$

The unsigned Stirling matrix of first kind  $\mathfrak{s} = [\mathfrak{s}_{ij}]$  is defined as

$$\mathfrak{s}_{ij} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{i-j} s(i,j) & \text{if } i \ge j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The Stirling matrix of second kind  $S = [S_{ij}]$  is defined as

$$S_{ij} = \begin{cases} S(i,j) & \text{if } i \ge j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is a well known fact that  $\mathfrak{s}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  are totally nonnegative (see for example [13]). We consider the matrices  $\mathfrak{ss}^*$  and  $\mathcal{SS}^*$  and call them symmetrized unsigned Stirling matrix of first kind and symmetrized Stirling matrix of second kind, respectively. By definition, these are positive semidefinite and totally nonnegative. We show that both these matrices are in fact totally positive.

Another matrix that we consider is formed by the well known *Bell numbers*. The sum  $\mathfrak{w}(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} S(n,k)$  is the number of partitions of a set of n objects and is known as the nth Bell number [9, p. 210]. Consider the matrix  $\mathfrak{B} = [\mathfrak{w}(i+j)]_{i,j=0}^{n-1}$ . Let  $X = (x_{ij})_{i,j=0}^{n-1}$  be the lower triangular matrix defined recursively by

$$x_{00} = 1$$
,  $x_{0j} = 0$  for  $j > 0$ , and  $x_{ij} = x_{i-1,j-1} + (j+1)x_{i-1,j} + (j+1)x_{i-1,j+1}$  for  $i \ge 1$ .

(Here  $x_{i,-1} = 0$  for every i and  $x_{in} = 0$  for i = 0, ..., n-2.) This is known as the Bell triangle [8]. Lemma 2 in [1] gives the Cholesky decomposition of  $\mathfrak{B}$  as  $LL^*$ , where  $L = X \operatorname{diag}\left(\left[\sqrt{i!}\right]\right)_{i=0}^{n-1}$ . It is also shown in [1] that  $\det \mathfrak{B} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} i!$ . It is a known fact that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is totally nonnegative [18]. We show that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is totally positive. We also show that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is infinitely divisible only upto order 4.

In Section 2 we give our results for the beta matrix, namely, its infinite divisibility and total positivity, its Cholesky decomposition, its determinant,  $\mathcal{B}^{-1}$ , and its SEB factorization. We show that  $\mathcal{B}^{\circ r}$  is in

fact totally positive for all r > 0. We end the discussion on the beta matrix by proving that for positive real numbers  $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_n$  and  $\mu_1 < \cdots < \mu_n$ ,  $\left[\frac{1}{\beta(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)}\right]$  is an infinitely divisible matrix and  $\left[\frac{1}{\beta(\lambda_i, \mu_j)}\right]$  is a totally positive matrix. In section 3, we prove that symmetrized Stirling matrices and  $\mathfrak{B}$  are totally positive. For the first kind, we give the SEB factorization for  $\mathfrak{s}$ . For the second kind, we show that  $\mathcal{S}$  is triangular totally positive [12, p. 3]. We also show that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is infinitely divisible if and only if its order is less than or equal to 4.

## 2 The beta matrix

The infinite divisibility and total positivity of  $\mathcal{B}$  are easy consequences of the corresponding results for  $\mathcal{P}$ . For  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , let A(i, j) denote the submatrix of A obtained by deleting ith row and jth column from A. Each A(i, i) is infinitely divisible, if A is infinitely divisible, and each A(i, j) is totally positive, if A is totally positive.

**Theorem 2.1.** The matrix  $\mathcal{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\beta(i,j)} \end{bmatrix}$  is infinitely divisible and totally positive.

*Proof.* By definition,

$$\frac{1}{\beta(i,j)} = \frac{(i+j-1)!}{(i-1)!(j-1)!} = \frac{ij(i+j)!}{(i+j)i!j!} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{i}} {\binom{i+j}{i}}. \binom{i+j}{i}. \tag{1}$$

Thus  $\mathcal{B} = C \circ \mathcal{P}(1,1)$ , where  $C = \left[\frac{1}{\frac{1}{i} + \frac{1}{j}}\right]$  is a Cauchy matrix. Both C and  $\mathcal{P}(1,1)$  are infinitely divisible [3]. Since Hadamard product of infinitely divisible matrices is infinitely divisible, we get  $\mathcal{B}$  is infinitely divisible.

Again, note that

$$\frac{1}{\beta(i,j)} = \frac{(i+j-1)!}{(i-1)!(j-1)!} = j\frac{(i+j-1)!}{(i-1)!(j!)} = \binom{(i-1)+j}{i-1}j. \tag{2}$$

So  $\mathcal{B}$  is the product of the totally positive matrix  $\mathcal{P}(n+1,1)$  with the positive diagonal matrix diag([i]). Hence  $\mathcal{B}$  is totally positive.

The below remarks were suggested by the anonymous referee.

Remark 2.2. Since for each r > 0,  $C^{\circ r}$  and  $\mathcal{P}^{\circ r}$  are positive definite (see p. 183 of [4]), (1) gives that  $\mathcal{B}^{\circ r}$  is positive definite. Let  $\mathcal{G} = [(i+j)!]_{i,j=0}^n$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  is a Hankel matrix. Since  $\mathcal{G}^{\circ r}$  is congruent to  $\mathcal{P}^{\circ r}$  via the positive diagonal matrix diag( $[i!^r]$ ) $_{i=0}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{G}^{\circ r}$  is positive definite. The matrix  $\mathcal{G}^{\circ r}(n+1,1) = [(i+j-1)!^r]$  is congruent to  $\mathcal{B}^{\circ r}$ , via the positive diagonal matrix diag( $[i-1)!^r$ ). So  $\mathcal{G}^{\circ r}(n+1,1)$  is also positive definite. Hence  $\mathcal{G}^{\circ r}$  is totally positive, by Theorem 4.4 in [19]. This shows that  $\mathcal{P}^{\circ r}$  is totally positive. By (2),  $\mathcal{B}^{\circ r}$  is also totally positive.

**Remark 2.3.** Another proof for infinite divisibility of  $\mathcal{B}$  can be given as follows. For positive real numbers  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$ , the generalized Pascal matrix is the matrix  $\left[\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_i + \lambda_j + 1)}{\Gamma(\lambda_i + 1)\Gamma(\lambda_j + 1)}\right]$ . The beta matrix  $\mathcal{B}$  is congruent to it via a positive diagonal matrix, when  $\lambda_i = i - 1/2$ . The generalized Pascal matrix is infinitely divisible [3], and hence so is  $\mathcal{B}$ .

By Theorem 2.1,  $\mathcal{B}$  is positive definite and so, can be written as  $LL^*$ . Our next theorem gives L explicitly.

**Theorem 2.4.** The matrix  $\left[\frac{1}{\beta(i,j)}\right]$  has the Cholesky decomposition  $LL^*$ , where  $L=\left[\binom{i}{j}\sqrt{j}\right]$ .

*Proof.* We prove the result by the combinatorial method of two way counting. Consider a set of (i+j-1) persons. The number of ways of choosing a committee of j people and a chairman of this committee is

$$j \cdot {i+j-1 \choose j} = \frac{(i+j-1)!}{(i-1)!(j-1!)}.$$

Another way to count the same is to separate (i+j-1) people into two groups of i and (j-1) people each. The number of ways of choosing a committee of j people from these two groups of people and then a chairman of the committee is  $j \cdot \sum_{k} {i \choose k} {j-1 \choose j-k}$ , where k varies from 1 to  $\min\{i,j\}$ . Rearranging the terms in this expression, we get

$$j \cdot \sum_{k} {i \choose k} {j-1 \choose j-k} = \sum_{k} j {i \choose k} {j-1 \choose j-k}$$
$$= \sum_{k} {i \choose k} j \frac{(j-1)!}{(j-k)!(k-1)!}$$
$$= \sum_{k} {i \choose k} k \frac{j!}{(j-k)!k!}$$
$$= \sum_{k} k {i \choose k} {j \choose k}.$$

The last expression is the (i,j)th entry of the matrix  $LL^*$ , where  $L=[\binom{i}{j}\sqrt{j}]$ .

Corollary 2.5. The determinant of  $\mathcal{B}$  is equal to n!.

Corollary 2.6. The inverse of the matrix  $\mathcal{B}$  has (i,j)th entry as  $(-1)^{i+j} \sum_{k=1}^{n} {k \choose i} {k \choose j} \frac{1}{k}$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.4,  $\mathcal{B} = LL^*$ , where  $L = \left[\binom{i}{j}\sqrt{j}\right]$ . Let  $Z = \left[\binom{i}{j}\right]$  and  $D' = \operatorname{diag}(\left[\sqrt{i}\right])$ . Then L = ZD'. Since  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+j} \binom{i}{k} \binom{k}{j} = \delta_{ij}$ , we get that  $Z^{-1} = \left[(-1)^{i+j} \binom{i}{j}\right]$ . So  $L^{-1} = D'^{-1}Z^{-1} = \left[(-1)^{i+j} \binom{i}{j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}}\right]$ . Thus  $\mathcal{B}^{-1} = L^{*^{-1}}L^{-1}$ . This gives that the (i,j)th entry of  $\mathcal{B}^{-1}$  is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( (-1)^{k+i} \binom{k}{i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \right) \left( (-1)^{k+j} \binom{k}{j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \right)$$

which is equal to

$$(-1)^{i+j} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{k}{i} \binom{k}{j} \frac{1}{k}.$$

**Remark 2.7.** The above theorems hold true if i, j are replaced by  $\lambda_i, \lambda_j$ , where  $0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n$  are positive integers. For r > 0, the matrix  $\left[\frac{1}{\beta(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)^r}\right]$  is totally positive because it is a submatrix of the  $\lambda_n \times \lambda_n$  matrix  $\mathcal{B}^{\circ r}$ . So  $\left[\frac{1}{\beta(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)}\right]$  is also infinitely divisible. We have  $\left[\frac{1}{\beta(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)}\right] = LL^*$ , where L is the  $n \times \lambda_n$  matrix  $\left[\binom{\lambda_i}{j}\sqrt{j}\right]$ . The proof is same as for Theorem 2.4.

The next theorem gives the SEB factorization for the matrix  $\mathcal{B}$ , which also gives another proof for  $\mathcal{B}$  to be totally positive.

**Theorem 2.8.** The matrix  $\mathcal{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\beta(i,j)} \end{bmatrix}$  can be written as

$$\left(L_n\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)L_{n-1}\left(\frac{n-1}{n-2}\right)\cdots L_2\left(2\right)\right)\left(L_n\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)\cdots L_3\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\right)\cdots\left(L_n\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)\right)D$$

$$\left(U_n\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)\right)\cdots\left(U_3\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\cdots U_n\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)\right)\left(U_2\left(2\right)\cdots U_{n-1}\left(\frac{n-1}{n-2}\right)U_n\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)\right),$$

where D = diag([i]).

To prove this theorem, we first need a lemma.

**Lemma 2.9.** For  $1 \le k \le n-1$ , let  $Y_k = \begin{bmatrix} y_{ij}^{(k)} \end{bmatrix}$  be the  $n \times n$  lower triangular matrix where

$$y_{ij}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{j} \binom{i - (n - k)}{j - (n - k)} & \text{if } n - k \le j < i \le n, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$Y_k = \left(L_n\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)\cdots L_{n-(k-1)}\left(\frac{n-k+1}{n-k}\right)\right)\left(L_n\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)\cdots L_{n-(k-2)}\left(\frac{n-k+2}{n-k+1}\right)\right)\cdots \left(L_n\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)\right).$$

*Proof.* For k = 1, the right hand side is  $L_n\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)$ , which is same as  $Y_1$ . We show below that for  $1 \le k \le n-2$ ,

$$(\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{L}_{n-1} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{n-k}) Y_k = Y_{k+1}, \tag{4}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_p$  denotes  $L_p\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)$ . This will show that (3) is true for  $k=1,2,\ldots,n-1$ . We also keep note of the fact that multiplying  $\mathcal{L}_p$  on the left of a matrix A is applying the elementary row operation row  $p\to \text{row }p+\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)\times \text{row }(p-1)$  on A, which we will use in the cases 2, 3 and 4. We also note that for k=n-2, only cases 1 and 4 are relevant.

Case 1: Let  $1 \le i \le j \le n$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}_p$  and  $Y_k$  are lower triangular matrices with diagonal entries 1, so is  $(\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{L}_{n-1} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{n-k}) Y_k$ . So the (i, j)th entry of both the matrices in (4) is same.

Case 2: Let  $1 \le j \le n-k-2$  and  $j+1 \le i \le n-k-1$ . In this case,  $y_{ij}^{(k)} = 0$ . Since multiplying  $Y_k$  on the left by  $\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{L}_{n-1} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{n-k}$  will keep its rows  $j+1, \ldots, n-k-1$  unchanged, we get that the (i,j)th entry of  $(\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{L}_{n-1} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{n-k}) Y_k$  is zero.

Case 3: Let  $1 \le j \le n-k-2$  and  $n-k \le i \le n$ . Multiplying  $Y_k$  on the left by  $\mathcal{L}_{n-k}, \mathcal{L}_{n-(k-1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_n$  successively, we get that the (i,j)th entry of  $(\mathcal{L}_n\mathcal{L}_{n-1}\cdots\mathcal{L}_{n-k})Y_k$  is given by

$$y_{ij}^{(k)} + \frac{i}{i-1} \left[ y_{i-1,j}^{(k)} + \frac{i-1}{i-2} \left[ y_{i-2,j}^{(k)} + \dots + \frac{n-k+1}{n-k} \left[ y_{n-k,j}^{(k)} + \frac{n-k}{n-k-1} y_{n-k-1,j}^{(k)} \right] \right] \dots \right]$$

$$= y_{ij}^{(k)} + \frac{i}{i-1} y_{i-1,j}^{(k)} + \frac{i}{i-2} y_{i-2,j}^{(k)} + \dots + \frac{i}{n-k-1} y_{n-k-1,j}^{(k)}.$$
 (5)

Now  $y_{pj}^{(k)}=0$  for all  $1\leq j\leq n-k-2$  and  $p\neq j$ . So the (i,j)th entry of  $(\mathcal{L}_n\mathcal{L}_{n-1}\cdots\mathcal{L}_{n-k})Y_k$  is zero. Case 4: Let  $i>j\geq n-k-1$ . Again, the (i,j)th entry of  $(\mathcal{L}_n\mathcal{L}_{n-1}\cdots\mathcal{L}_{n-k})Y_k$  is given by  $y_{ij}^{(k)}+\frac{i}{i-1}y_{i-1,j}^{(k)}+\frac{i}{i-2}y_{i-2,j}^{(k)}+\cdots+\frac{i}{j}y_{jj}^{(k)}+\cdots+\frac{i}{n-k-1}y_{n-k-1,j}^{(k)}$ . For j=n-k-1,  $y_{pj}^{(k)}=0$  for  $p\neq j$ . So the (i,n-k-1)th entry of  $(\mathcal{L}_n\mathcal{L}_{n-1}\cdots\mathcal{L}_{n-k})Y_k$  is  $\frac{i}{n-k-1}$ . For  $j\geq n-k$ ,  $y_{pj}^{(k)}=0$  for p< j and  $y_{pj}^{(k)}=\frac{p}{j}\binom{p-n+k}{j-n+k}$  for  $p\geq j$ . So we obtain that the (i,j)th entry of  $(\mathcal{L}_n\mathcal{L}_{n-1}\cdots\mathcal{L}_{n-k})Y_k$  is

$$= \frac{i}{j} \binom{i-n+k}{j-n+k} + \frac{i}{i-1} \cdot \frac{i-1}{j} \binom{(i-1)-n+k}{j-n+k} + \frac{i}{i-2} \cdot \frac{i-2}{j} \binom{(i-2)-n+k}{j-n+k} + \dots + \frac{i}{j}$$

$$= \frac{i}{j} \left[ \sum_{p=j}^{i} \binom{p-n+k}{j-n+k} \right]. \tag{6}$$

Since  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} {m+k \choose m} = {m+n+1 \choose m+1}$ , the expression in (6) is equal to  $\frac{i}{j} {i-n+k+1 \choose j-n+k+1}$ . In all the above four cases, the (i,j)th entry of  $(\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{L}_{n-1} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{n-k}) Y_k$  is the same as that of  $Y_{k+1}$ . Hence we are done.

*Proof of Theorem 2.8.* By Theorem 2.4 we have that  $\mathcal{B} = \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix}$   $D \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix}^*$ . So it is enough to show that

$$(\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{L}_{n-1} \cdots \mathcal{L}_2)(\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{L}_{n-1} \cdots \mathcal{L}_3) \cdots (\mathcal{L}_n \mathcal{L}_{n-1})(\mathcal{L}_n) = \begin{bmatrix} i \\ j \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (7)

This is easily obtained by putting k = n - 1 in (3).

**Remark 2.10.** Let  $p_0, \ldots, p_{n-1}$  be functions from a set  $\mathfrak{X}$  to a field and  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m \in \mathfrak{X}$ . Then the  $m \times n$  matrix defined by  $[p_{j-1}(\lambda_i)]_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$  is called an *alternant matrix* [2, p. 112]. Let  $\langle x \rangle_n = x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1)$ . For positive integers  $0 < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_n$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\beta(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)} = \frac{(\lambda_i + \lambda_j - 1)!}{(\lambda_i - 1)!(\lambda_j - 1)!} = \frac{\langle \lambda_j \rangle_{\lambda_i}}{(\lambda_i - 1)!}.$$

Thus with  $p_{j-1}(x) = \frac{\langle \lambda_j \rangle_x}{(x-1)!}$ ,  $\left[\frac{1}{\beta(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)}\right]$  is an alternant matrix.

We now consider the more general matrix  $\left[\frac{1}{\beta(\lambda_i,\lambda_j)}\right]$  for positive real numbers  $\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_n$ . The (i,j)th entry of this matrix is given by  $\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_i+\lambda_j)}{\Gamma(\lambda_i)\Gamma(\lambda_j)}$ . The proof for infinite divisibility of generalized Pascal matrix  $\left[\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_i+\lambda_j+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda_i+1)\Gamma(\lambda_j+1)}\right]$  is given in [3]. Infinite divisibility of  $\left[\frac{1}{\beta(\lambda_i,\lambda_j)}\right]$  follows by a similar argument. Alternatively, one can also observe that  $\left[\frac{1}{\beta(\lambda_i,\lambda_j)}\right] = \left[\frac{\Gamma(\lambda_i+\lambda_j+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda_i+1)\Gamma(\lambda_j+1)}\right] \circ \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_i} + \frac{1}{\lambda_j}\right]$  and deduce its infinite divisibility. This is also same as saying that  $\frac{1}{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)}$  is an infinitely divisible kernel [15] on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$ .

We observe that  $\frac{1}{\beta(\cdot,\cdot)}$  is also a totally positive kernel [17] on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$ . For that we first show that  $[\Gamma(\lambda_i + \mu_j)]$  is totally positive, where  $0 < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_n$  and  $0 < \mu_1 < \cdots < \mu_n$ . The proof of this was guided to us by Abdelmalek Abdesselam and Mateusz Kwaśnicki <sup>1</sup>.

**Theorem 2.11.** Let  $0 < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_n$  and  $0 < \mu_1 < \cdots < \mu_n$  be positive real numbers. Then  $[\Gamma(\lambda_i + \mu_j)]$  is totally positive.

*Proof.* Since all the minors of  $[\Gamma(\lambda_i + \mu_j)]$  are also of the same form, it is enough to show that  $\det([\Gamma(\lambda_i + \mu_j)]) > 0$ . Let  $K_1(x, y) = x^y$  and  $K_2(x, y) = y^x$ . For any  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,

$$\Gamma(\lambda + \mu) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{\lambda + \mu - 1} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\lambda + \mu} \left(\frac{e^{-t}}{t}\right) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\lambda} t^{\mu} \sigma(dt), \quad \text{where } \sigma(dt) = \frac{e^{-t}}{t} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} K_{2}(\lambda, t) K_{1}(t, \mu) \sigma(dt). \tag{8}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://mathoverflow.net/questions/306366/

Let  $0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n$ . Then by (8) and the basic composition formula [17, p. 17],

$$\det\left(\left[\Gamma(\lambda_i + \mu_j)\right]\right) = \int_{t_1=0}^{\infty} \dots \int_{t_n=0}^{\infty} \det\left(\left[K_2(\lambda_i, t_j)\right]\right) \times \det\left(\left[K_1(t_i, \mu_j)\right]\right) \sigma(dt_1) \cdots \sigma(dt_n).$$

Since  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are totally positive kernels on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$  (see [19, p. 90]),  $\det([K_2(\lambda_i, t_j)])$  and  $\det([K_1(t_i, \mu_j)])$  are positive functions of  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ . So  $\det([\Gamma(\lambda_i + \mu_j)]) > 0$ .

Corollary 2.12. For positive real numbers  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots < \lambda_n$  and  $0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \cdots < \mu_n$ , the matrix  $\left[\frac{1}{\beta(\lambda_i, \mu_i)}\right]$  is totally positive.

*Proof.* Since 
$$\mathcal{B} = \operatorname{diag}\left(\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda_i)}\right]\right) \left[\Gamma(\lambda_i + \mu_j)\right] \operatorname{diag}\left(\left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu_i)}\right]\right)$$
, we obtain the required result.

## 3 Combinatorial matrices

The unsigned Stirling matrix of first kind  $\mathfrak s$  is totally nonnegative as well as invertible. Theorem 2.2.2 in [12] says that every invertible totally nonnegative matrix can be written as  $(L_n(l_k)L_{n-1}(l_{k-1})\cdots L_2(l_{k-n+2}))$   $(L_n(l_{k-n+1})L_{n-1}(l_{k-n})\cdots L_3(l_{k-2n+4}))\cdots (L_n(l_1))D(U_n(u_1))(U_{n-1}(u_2)U_n(u_3))\cdots (U_2(u_{k-n+2})\cdots U_{n-1}(u_{k-1})U_n(u_k))$ , where  $k=\binom{n}{2},\ l_i,u_j\geq 0$  for all  $i,j\in\{1,2,\ldots,k\}$  and  $D=\mathrm{diag}([d_i])$  is a diagonal matrix with all  $d_i>0$ . The below proposition gives that  $u_j=0$  for  $\mathfrak s$ , which is not surprising in view of [16, Theorem 7].

**Proposition 3.1.** The  $n \times n$  unsigned Stirling matrix of first kind  $\mathfrak{s}$  can be factorized as

$$\mathfrak{s} = (L_n(n-1)L_{n-1}(n-2)\cdots L_2(1))(L_n(n-2)L_{n-1}(n-3)\cdots L_3(1))\cdots (L_n(2)L_{n-1}(1))(L_n(1)). \tag{9}$$

*Proof.* Since  $(L_i(s))^{-1} = L_i(-s)$ , so it is enough to show that

$$(L_n(-1)) (L_{n-1}(-1)L_n(-2)) \cdots (L_3(-1)\cdots L_{n-1}(-(n-3))L_n(-(n-2))) (L_2(-1)\cdots L_{n-1}(-(n-2))L_n(-(n-1))) \mathfrak{s} = I_n, (10)$$

where  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. We shall prove (10) by induction on n. For clarity, we shall denote the  $n \times n$  matrices  $\mathfrak{s}$  and  $L_i(s)$ , by  $\mathfrak{s}_n$  and  $L_i(s)_{(n)}$ , respectively. For n=2,  $\mathfrak{s}_2=\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , which is clearly equal to  $L_2(1)_{(2)}$ . Let us assume that (10) holds for n. We have the following recurrence relation [14, p. 166] for  $\mathfrak{s}_{ij}$ :

$$\mathfrak{s}_{00} = 1, \mathfrak{s}_{0j} = 0, \mathfrak{s}_{i0} = 0$$
  

$$\mathfrak{s}_{i+1,j} = \mathfrak{s}_{i,j-1} + i \, \mathfrak{s}_{ij}.$$
(11)

So for  $1 \le k \le n$ , multiplying  $\mathfrak{s}_{n+1}$  on the left by  $(L_{k+1}(-k))_{(n+1)}$  replaces its (k+1)th row by the row whose first element is 0 and jth element is the (j-1)th element of the previous row. So we get

$$(L_2(-1)_{(n+1)} \cdots L_n(-(n-1))_{(n+1)} L_{n+1}(-n)_{(n+1)}) \mathfrak{s}_{n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathfrak{s}_n \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (12)

It is easy to see that

$$L_i(s)_{(n+1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & L_{i-1}(s)_{(n)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\left( L_{n+1}(-1)_{(n+1)} \right) \left( L_n(-1)_{(n+1)} L_{n+1}(-2)_{(n+1)} \right) \cdots \left( L_3(-1)_{(n+1)} \cdots L_n(-(n-2))_{(n+1)} L_{n+1}(-(n-1))_{(n+1)} \right)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \left( L_n(-1)_{(n)} \right) \left( L_{n-1}(-1)_{(n)} L_n(-2)_{(n)} \right) \cdots \left( L_2(-1)_{(n)} \cdots L_{n-1}(-(n-2))_{(n)} L_n(-(n-1))_{(n)} \right) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using induction hypothesis and (12), we obtain

$$\left( L_{n+1}(-1)_{(n+1)} \right) \left( L_n(-1)_{(n+1)} L_{n+1}(-2)_{(n+1)} \right) \cdots \left( L_3(-1)_{(n+1)} \cdots L_n(-(n-2))_{(n+1)} L_{n+1}(-(n-1))_{(n+1)} \right)$$

$$\left( L_2(-1)_{(n+1)} \cdots L_n(-(n-1))_{(n+1)} L_{n+1}(-n)_{(n+1)} \right) \mathfrak{s}_{n+1} = I_{n+1}.$$

As an immediate consequence, we obtain the following.

**Theorem 3.2.** The symmetrized unsigned Stirling matrix of first kind  $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{s}^*$  is totally positive.

*Proof.* This follows from the above Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 2.2.3 of [12].  $\Box$ 

We now show that symmetrized Stirling matrix of second kind  $\mathcal{SS}^*$  is totally positive. For that we first prove that  $\mathcal{S}$  is triangular totally positive. For  $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p\}$ ,  $\gamma = \{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_p\}$  with  $1 \leq \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_p \leq n$  and  $1 \leq \gamma_1 < \cdots < \gamma_p \leq n$ , let  $A[\alpha, \gamma]$  denotes the submatrix of A obtained by picking rows  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p$  and columns  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_p$  of A. The dispersion of  $\alpha$ , denoted by  $d(\alpha)$ , is defined as  $d(\alpha) = \alpha_p - \alpha_1 - (p-1)$ . Note that  $d(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_p$  are consecutive p numbers. We denote by  $\alpha'$  the set  $\{\alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2 + 1, \ldots, \alpha_p + 1\}$ , and by  $\sigma^{(p)}$  the set  $\{1, \ldots, p\}$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** The Stirling matrix of second kind S is triangular totally positive.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 of [10],  $\mathcal{S}$  is triangular totally positive if and only if  $\det(\mathcal{S}[\alpha, \sigma^{(p)}]) > 0$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq n$  and for all  $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p\}$  satisfying  $1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_p \leq n$  and  $d(\alpha) = 0$ . For p = n, this is obviously true. Let  $1 \leq p < n$ . If  $\alpha_1 = 1$  and  $d(\alpha) = 0$ , then  $\alpha = \sigma^p$  and  $\det(\mathcal{S}[\sigma^{(p)}, \sigma^{(p)}]) = 1 > 0$ . Next we show that if  $\det(\mathcal{S}[\alpha, \sigma^{(p)}]) > 0$ , where  $d(\alpha) = 0$ , then  $\det(\mathcal{S}[\alpha', \sigma^{(p)}]) > 0$  (and  $d(\alpha') = 0$ ).

Let 
$$T = [t_{ij}]$$
 be defined as  $t_{ij} = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } i = j \\ 1 & \text{if } j - i = 1. \text{ We prove that} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

$$S[\alpha', \sigma^{(p)}] = S[\alpha, \sigma^{(p)}]T \text{ for } 1 \le p < n.$$
(13)

The (i, j)th entry of  $S[\alpha, \sigma^{(p)}]T$  is  $j S(\alpha_i, j) + S(\alpha_i, j - 1)$ . The Stirling numbers of second kind satisfy the following recurrence relation:

$$S(0,0) = 1$$
:

for 
$$\ell, m > 1$$
,  $S(0, m) = 0 = S(\ell, 0)$ ,  $S(\ell, m) = m S(\ell - 1, m) + S(\ell - 1, m - 1)$ .

Thus the (i, j)th entry of  $S[\alpha, \sigma^{(p)}]T$  is  $= S(\alpha_i + 1, j)$ , which is also the (i, j)th entry of  $S[\alpha', \sigma^{(p)}]$ . Hence (13) holds, which gives that  $\det(S[\alpha', \sigma^{(p)}]) = p! \det(S[\alpha, \sigma^{(p)}]) > 0$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** The symmetrized Stirling matrix of second kind  $SS^*$  is totally positive.

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 2.4.2 of [12].

Next, we show that  $\mathfrak{B} = [\mathfrak{w}(i+j)]$  is totally positive. Let  $Y = (y_{ij})_{i,j=0}^{n-2}$  be the lower triangular matrix defined recursively by  $y_{00} = 1$ ,  $y_{0j} = 0$  for j > 0, and  $y_{ij} = y_{i-1,j-1} + (j+2)y_{i-1,j} + (j+1)y_{i-1,j+1}$  for  $i \geq 1$ , where  $y_{i,-1} = 0$  for every i and  $y_{in} = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n-3$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** The matrix  $\mathfrak{B} = [\mathfrak{w}(i+j)]$  is totally positive.

Proof. Let  $\mathfrak{B}(n,1) = [\mathfrak{w}(i+j+1)]_{i,j=0}^{n-2}$  be the matrix obtained from  $\mathfrak{B}$  by deleting its first column and nth row. From the proof of the Theorem in [1],  $\mathfrak{B} = LL^*$ , where  $L = X \operatorname{diag}\left(\left[\sqrt{i!}\right]\right)_{i=0}^{n-1}$ , and  $\mathfrak{B}(n,1) = L'L'^*$ , where  $L' = Y \operatorname{diag}\left(\left[\sqrt{i!}\right]\right)_{i=0}^{n-2}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}(n,1)$  are positive semidefinite. The Theorem in [1] also shows that both  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}(n,1)$  are nonsingular, hence they are positive definite. Since  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a Hankel matrix, the result now follows from Theorem 4.4 of [19].

Now we show that  $\mathfrak{B}$  is infinitely divisible only upto order 4. For  $A = [a_{ij}]$ , let  $\log A = [\log(a_{ij})]$ . Let  $\Delta A$  denote the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix  $[a_{ij} + a_{i+1,j+1} - a_{i+1,j} - a_{i,j+1}]_{i,j=1}^{n-1}$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** The  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathfrak{B}$  is infinitely divisible if and only if  $n \leq 4$ .

*Proof.* We denote the  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathfrak{B}$  by  $\mathfrak{B}_n$ . Since  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  is a principal submatrix of  $\mathfrak{B}_{n+1}$ , it is enough to show that  $\mathfrak{B}_4$  is infinitely divisible but  $\mathfrak{B}_5$  is not infinitely divisible.

By Corollary 1.6 and Theorem 1.10 of [15], to prove infinite divisibility of  $\mathfrak{B}_4$ , it is enough to prove that  $\Delta \log \mathfrak{B}_4$  is positive definite. Now

$$\mathfrak{B}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 & 15 \\ 2 & 5 & 15 & 52 \\ 5 & 15 & 52 & 203 \end{bmatrix}, \ \log \mathfrak{B}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \log 2 & \log 5 \\ 0 & \log 2 & \log 5 & \log 15 \\ \log 2 & \log 5 & \log 15 & \log 52 \\ \log 5 & \log 15 & \log 52 & \log 203 \end{bmatrix},$$
 and  $\Delta \log \mathfrak{B}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} \log 2 & \log(5/4) & \log(6/5) \\ \log(5/4) & \log(6/5) & \log(52/45) \\ \log(6/5) & \log(52/45) & \log(3045/2704) \end{bmatrix}.$ 

Since all the leading principal minors of  $\Delta \log \mathfrak{B}_4$  are positive, we get that  $\Delta \log \mathfrak{B}_4$  is positive definite. Hence  $\mathfrak{B}_4$  is infinitely divisible. Since  $\det \left(\mathfrak{B}_5^{\circ \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}\right) = -1.62352 \times 10^{-9} < 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{B}_5$  is not infinitely divisible.

**Acknowledgement** The possibility of studying these problems was suggested to us by Rajendra Bhatia. It is a pleasure to record our thanks to him. We are also very thankful to the anonymous referee for several helpful comments.

#### References

- $[1]\ \ \text{M. Aigner, A Characterization of the bell numbers},\ \textit{Discrete Mathematics},\ 205\ (1999)\ 207-210.$
- [2] A.C. Aitken, Determinants and Matrices, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1944.
- [3] R. Bhatia, Infinitely divisible matrices, The American Mathematical Monthly, 113 (2006) 221–235.
- [4] R. Bhatia, Positive Definite Matrices, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 2007.
- [5] R. Bhatia, Min matrices and mean matrices, The Mathematical Intelligencer, 33 (2011) 22-28.
- [6] R. Bhatia and T. Jain, Positivity properties of the matrix [(i+j)<sup>i+j</sup>], Archiv der Mathematik, 103 (2014) 279-283.
- [7] R. Bhatia and H. Kosaki, Mean matrices and infinite divisibility, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 424 (2007) 36-54.
- [8] X. Chen, H. Liang and Y. Wang, Total positivity of recursive matrices, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 471 (2015) 383–393.
- [9] L. Comtet, Advanced Combinatorics, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1974.
- [10] C.W. Cryer, The LU-factorization of totally positive matrices, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 7 (1973) 83-92.
- [11] A. Edelman and G. Strang, Pascal Matrices, The American Mathematical Monthly, 111 (2004) 189–197.
- [12] S. M. Fallat and C. R. Johnson, Totally Nonnegative Matrices, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2011.
- [13] D. Galvin and A. Pacurar, Total non-negativity of some combinatorial matrices, Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series A, 172 (2020), article 105179.
- [14] H. W. Gould and J. Quaintance, Combinatorial Identities for Stirling Numbers: The Unpublished Notes of H G Gould, World Scientific, Singapore, 2016.
- [15] R. Horn, The theory of infinitely divisible matrices and kernels, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 136 (1969) 269–286.
- [16] C.R. Johnson, D.D. Olesky and P.van den Driessche, Elementary bidiagonal factorizations, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 292 (1999) 233–244.
- [17] S. Karlin, Total Positivity, Volume I, Stanford University Press, California, 1968.
- [18] H. Liang, L. Mu, Y. Wang, Catalan-like numbers and Stieltjes moment sequences, Discrete Math., 339 (2016) 484-488.
- $[19] \ \ A. \ Pinkus, \ \textit{Totally Positive Matrices}, \ Cambridge \ University \ Press, \ Cambridge, \ 2010.$