

Non-singular circulant graphs and digraphs

A. K. Lal*

A Satyanarayana Reddy*

Abstract We give necessary and sufficient conditions for a few classes of known circulant graphs and/or digraphs to be singular. The above graph classes are generalized to (r, s, t) -digraphs for non-negative integers r, s and t , and the digraph $C_n^{i,j,k,l}$, with certain restrictions. We also obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for the digraphs $C_n^{i,j,k,l}$ to be singular. Some necessary conditions are given under which the (r, s, t) -digraphs are singular.

Keywords: Graphs, Digraphs, Circulant matrices, Primitive roots.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let \mathbb{Q} denote the set of rational numbers. Then the set of all $n \times n$ matrices with entries from \mathbb{Q} is denoted by $M_n(\mathbb{Q})$. A matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Q})$ is said to be symmetric if $A = A^t$, where A^t denotes the transpose of the matrix A and is said to be circulant if $a_{ij} = a_{1, j-i+1}$, whenever $2 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, where the subscripts are read modulo n . From the definition, it is clear that if A is circulant then for each $i \geq 2$ the elements of the i -th row are obtained by cyclically shifting the elements of the $(i-1)$ -th row one position to the right. So it is sufficient to specify its first row. For example, the identity matrix, denoted I , and the matrix of all 1's, denoted \mathbf{J} , are circulant matrices. Let W_n be a circulant matrix of order n with $[0, 1, 0, \dots, 0]$ as its first row. Then the following result of Davis [4] establishes that every circulant matrix of order n is a polynomial in W_n .

Lemma 1.1. [4] *Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Q})$. Then A is circulant if and only if it is a polynomial over \mathbb{Q} in W_n .*

Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Q})$ be a circulant matrix. Then Lemma 1.1 ensures the existence of a polynomial $\gamma_A(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ such that $A = \gamma_A(W_n)$. We call $\gamma_A(x)$, the representer polynomial of A . For a fixed positive integer n , let ζ_n denote a primitive n -th root of unity. That is, $\zeta_n^n = 1$ and $\zeta_n^k \neq 1$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Then the following result about circulant matrices is well known.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Q})$ be a circulant matrix with $[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}]$ as its first row. Then*

1. $\gamma_A(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$.
2. *the eigenvalues of A are given by $\gamma_A(\zeta_n^k)$, for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.*

For definitions and results related to linear algebra, algebra and/or graph theory that have been used in this paper but not have been cleared defined or stated, the readers are advised to see any standard textbook on abstract algebra and/or graph theory (for example, see [6] and/or [3]).

*Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kanpur - 208 016, India.
E-Mails: arlal@iitk.ac.in; satya@iitk.ac.in.

Recall that a *directed graph* (in short, digraph) is an ordered pair $X = (V, E)$ that consists of two sets V , the vertex set, and E , the edge set, where V is a non-empty set and $E \subset V \times V$. If $e = (u, v) \in E$ with $u \neq v$ then the edge e is said to be *incident* from u to v . A digraph is called a *graph* if $(u, v) \in E$ whenever $(v, u) \in E$, for any two elements $u, v \in V$. An edge between u and v in the graph X is denoted by $\{u, v\}$. A graph/digraph is said to be finite, if $|V|$ (called the *order* of X) and $|E|$ (called the *size* of X) are finite. All the graphs/digraphs in this paper are finite. The adjacency matrix of a graph/digraph $X = (V, E)$ is a $|V| \times |V|$ matrix, denoted $A(X) = [a_{uv}]$, with $a_{uv} = 1$ if $(u, v) \in E$ and 0, otherwise. Observe that, whenever X is a graph the matrix $A(X)$ is symmetric. For example, if A denotes the adjacency matrix of the cycle graph C_n on n vertices, then A is a circulant matrix and $\gamma_A(x) = x + x^{n-1}$ is its representer polynomial. Therefore, for $r = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, the eigenvalues of C_n are given by $\lambda_r = 2 \cos(\frac{2\pi r}{n})$. Throughout this paper, we assume that the greatest common divisor, in short gcd, of all the non-zero coefficients of $\gamma_A(x)$ is 1. It is well known that $x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x)$ (here $a \mid b$ means a

‘divides’ b), where $\Phi_d(x) = \prod_{\substack{\gcd(k,d)=1 \\ 1 \leq k \leq d}} (x - \zeta_d^k) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is called the d -th cyclotomic polynomial.

The polynomial $\Phi_n(x)$, for each positive integer n , is a monic irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{Q} and hence the minimal polynomial of ζ_n . Also, $\deg(\Phi_n(x)) = \varphi(n)$, the well known Euler-totient function. Therefore, using the property of minimal polynomials, it follows that if $f(\zeta_n) = 0$ for some $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ then $\Phi_n(x)$ divides $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Or equivalently, $f(\zeta_n) = 0$ for some $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ if and only if there exists a polynomial $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that $f(x) = \Phi_n(x)g(x)$. The next result appears on page 93 in [9].

Lemma 1.3. [9] *Let p be a prime number and let n be a positive integer. Then*

$$\Phi_{pn}(x) = \begin{cases} \Phi_n(x^p), & \text{if } p \mid n, \\ \frac{\Phi_n(x^p)}{\Phi_n(x)}, & \text{if } p \nmid n. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\Phi_{p^k}(x) = 1 + x^{p^{k-1}} + x^{2p^{k-1}} + \dots + x^{(p-1)p^{k-1}}$ for every positive integer k .

The following result is an application of Lemma 1.2. This result also appears in the work of Geller, Kra, Popescu & Simanca [7].

Lemma 1.4 (Geller, Kra, Popescu & Simanca [7]). *Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ be a circulant matrix with $\gamma_A(x)$ as its representer polynomial. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *The matrix A is singular.*
2. $\deg(\gcd(\gamma_A(x), x^n - 1)) \geq 1$.

Fix a positive integer n , two distinct integers a and b and let s and t be positive integers with $s + t = n$. Suppose $[\underbrace{a, a, \dots, a}_s \text{ times}, \underbrace{b, b, \dots, b}_t \text{ times}]$ is the first row of a circulant matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

Then as a direct corollary of Lemma 1.4, one has the following result.

Corollary 1.5 (Davis [4]). *Let $[\underbrace{a, a, \dots, a}_s \text{ times}, \underbrace{b, b, \dots, b}_t \text{ times}]$ be the first row of the circulant matrix*

$A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{Q})$. *Then*

$$\det(A) = \begin{cases} (sa + tb)(a - b)^{n-1}, & \text{if } \gcd(s, n) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We now state a couple of known results that directly follow from Corollary 1.5.

Lemma 1.6. *The complete graph K_n , for $n \geq 2$, is non-singular.*

Proof. Let A be the adjacency matrix of complete graph K_n . Then $[0, 1, 1, \dots, 1]$ is the first row of A . Hence the result follows from Corollary 1.5. \square

As a second application, we consider a particular class of circulant matrices that appeared in the work of Searle [11]. He considered the circulant matrices that have $[h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-k}]$ as its first row, where $h_0 \neq 0$ and $h_{k-1} \neq 0$. The above class of matrices was called a *k-element circulant matrix*. Since we are looking at digraphs, we assume $h_0 = 1 = h_{k-1}$. With an abuse of notation, the circulant matrix with $[\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_k, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{n-k}]$ as its first row will be called a *k-element circulant digraph*. With this notation, the second application of Corollary 1.5 is stated below.

Lemma 1.7. *Let X be a k-element circulant digraph on n vertices. Then X is non-singular if and only if $\gcd(n, k) = 1$.*

We now rephrase Lemma 1.4 in terms of cyclotomic polynomials. Let $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ be a circulant matrix with $[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}]$ as its first row. Then $\gamma_A(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1}$ is the representer polynomial of A . Now, suppose that $a_0 = 0$ and let k be the smallest positive integer such that $a_k \neq 0$. Then $\gamma_A(x) = x^k \Gamma_A(x)$, for some polynomial $\Gamma_A(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. In this case, it follows that the matrix A is non-singular if and only if $\gcd(\Gamma_A(x), x^n - 1) = 1$ as $\gcd(x^k, x^n - 1) = 1$. This observation leads to the next remark.

Remark 1.8. *Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ be a circulant matrix and for each fixed positive integer k consider the matrix $W_n^k A$. Then A is singular (non-singular) if and only if $W_n^k A$ is singular (non-singular). That is, if we want to study singularity/non-singularity of a matrix A then it is enough to study $\Gamma_A(x)$.*

Using Remark 1.8 and Lemma 1.4, the following result is immediate and hence the proof is omitted.

Lemma 1.9. *Let A be a circulant digraph of order n and let $\Gamma_A(x)$ be the polynomial defined above. Then A is singular if and only if $\Phi_d(x) \mid \Gamma_A(x)$, for some divisor $d \neq 1$ of n .*

As an immediate corollary of Lemma 1.9, we have the following result.

Corollary 1.10. *Let p be a prime and let k be a positive integer with $p \nmid k$. Also, let X be a k-regular circulant graph/digraph on p^ℓ vertices, for some positive integer ℓ . Then X is non-singular.*

Proof. Using Lemma 1.9, we just need to show that $\Phi_d(x) \nmid \Gamma_A(x)$ for every $d \mid p^\ell, d \neq 1$. Let if possible, $\Gamma_A(x) = \Phi_d(x)g(x)$ for some $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Using Lemma 1.3, we have $\Phi_d(1) = p$ for every $d \mid p^\ell, d \neq 1$. As $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x], g(1) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, we get

$$k = \Gamma_A(1) = \Phi_d(1)g(1) = p g(1).$$

A contradiction to our assumption that $p \nmid k$. Thus the proof of the result is complete. \square

The remaining part of this paper consists of two more sections that are mainly concerned with applications of Lemma 1.9. Section 2 gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a few classes of circulant graphs to be non-singular and Section 3 gives possible generalization of the results studied in Section 2.

Before proceeding to Section 2, recall that for a graph $X = (V, E_1)$, the complement graph of X , denoted $X^c = (V, E_2)$, is a graph in which $(u, v) \in E_2$ whenever $(u, v) \notin E_1$ and vice versa, for every $u \neq v \in V$. Note that (u, u) is neither an element of E_1 nor an element of E_2 . Also, a graph X is circulant if and only if X^c is circulant and if A is the adjacency matrix of X then the adjacency matrix of X^c is given by $\mathbf{J} - A - I$.

2 Some Singular Circulant Graphs

This section is devoted to finding necessary and sufficient conditions for a few classes of circulant graphs to be singular or not. Before proceeding to these results, we show that the adjacency matrix A of a circulant graph on n vertices is a polynomial in $W_n + W_n^{-1}$, the adjacency matrix of C_n , the cycle graph on n vertices. To do so, we need the following definition.

Definition 2.11. *Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n be the vertices of a connected graph X . If d is the diameter of X then, for $0 \leq k \leq d$, the k -th distance matrix of X , denoted $A_k(X)$, is defined as*

$$(A_k(X))_{rs} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } d(v_r, v_s) = k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $d(u, v)$ is the distance between the vertices $u, v \in V$.

For example, $\tau = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and consider the cycle graph C_n . Also, let us write A_k to denote the distance matrices $A_k(C_n)$, for $0 \leq k \leq \tau$. Then, for $1 \leq i < \tau$, $A_i = W_n^i + W_n^{n-i}$ and

$$A_\tau = \begin{cases} W_n^\tau, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ W_n^\tau + W_n^{n-\tau}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

The identity

$$(x^k + x^{-k}) = (x + x^{-1})(x^{k-1} + x^{1-k}) - (x^{k-2} + x^{2-k})$$

enables us to readily establish, by mathematical induction, that $x^k + x^{-k}$ is a monic polynomial in $x + x^{-1}$ of degree k with integral coefficients. Also, for n even, $2\tau = n$ and hence $W_n^\tau = \frac{W_n^\tau + W_n^{-\tau}}{2}$. Consequently, A_i 's, for $1 \leq i \leq \tau$, are polynomials of degree $\leq i$, in A , the adjacency matrix of C_n , over \mathbb{Q} . Now, let B be a symmetric circulant matrix with representer polynomial $\gamma_B(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i x^i$. Then by definition, $B = \gamma_B(W_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i W_n^i$ and $B^t = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i W_n^{n-i}$. But B is

symmetric implies that $B = B^t$ and therefore, $b_i = b_{n-i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Thus, $B = \sum_{i=0}^{\tau} b_i A_i$ and hence we see that the adjacency matrix of any circulant graph is a polynomial in A , the adjacency matrix of C_n , over \mathbb{Q} .

For $1 \leq i \leq \tau$, let us denote the graph with adjacency matrix A_i as C_n^i . Then observe that $C_n^1 = C_n$ is the cycle graph on n vertices. Also, note that the corresponding representer polynomials, for $1 \leq i < \tau$, are given by $\gamma_{A_i}(x) = x^i(1 + x^{n-2i})$ and $\gamma_{A_\tau}(x) = x^\tau$, if n is even, and $\gamma_{A_\tau}(x) = x^\tau(1 + x)$, if n is odd. The next result uses the above notations and observations to give a necessary and sufficient condition for the graphs C_n^i , for $1 \leq i \leq \tau$, to be singular.

Lemma 2.12. Fix a positive integer $n \geq 3$ and let $1 \leq i \leq \tau = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Then the graph C_n^i is singular if and only if n is a multiple of 4 and $\gcd(i, \frac{n}{2}) \mid \frac{n}{4}$.

Proof. Using the discussion above, $\Gamma_{A_i}(x) = 1 + x^{n-2i}$, for $1 \leq i < \tau$ and $\Gamma_{A_\tau}(x) = 1 + x$, if n is odd, and $\Gamma_{A_\tau}(x) = 1$, if n is even. If n is odd then $\zeta_n^k \neq -1$ for any $k, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Hence, A_τ is non-singular for all n . So, we need to consider $\Gamma_{A_i}(x) = 1 + x^{n-2i}$, for $1 \leq i < \tau$.

In this case, A_i is singular if and only if $\Gamma_{A_i}(\zeta_n^k) = 0$, for some $k, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$. That is, we need $(\zeta_n^k)^{n-2i} = -1$. Or equivalently, we need $2ki \equiv \frac{n}{2} \pmod{n}$. That is, $ki \equiv \frac{n}{4} \pmod{\frac{n}{2}}$. Therefore, it follows that 4 divides n and $\gcd(i, \frac{n}{2}) \mid \frac{n}{4}$. \square

Remark 2.13. We can rewrite the condition in Lemma 2.12 as follows:

The graph C_n^i is singular if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. n is a multiple of 4, and
2. if s is the largest positive integer such that 2^s divides n then i is an odd multiple of 2^t for some $t, 0 \leq t \leq s-2$.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.12, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.14. Let C_n be the cycle graph on n vertices. Then C_n is singular if and only if $4 \mid n$.

The next result gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the complement graph $(C_n^i)^c$ of C_n^i to be singular.

Lemma 2.15. Fix a positive integer $n \geq 4$. Then the graph

1. $(C_n^\tau)^c$ is singular if and only if n is even or $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$.
2. $(C_n^i)^c$, for $1 \leq i < \tau$, is singular if and only if $3 \mid n$ and $\gcd(i, n) \mid \frac{n}{3}$.

Proof. Let n be even. Then, using definition of complement of a graph, the adjacency matrix of $(C_n^\tau)^c$, say A , is given by $\mathbf{J} - A_\tau - I$. Hence, $\gamma_A(x) = 1 + x + \cdots + x^{n-1} - 1 - \gamma_{A_\tau}(x)$ is the representer polynomial of $(C_n^\tau)^c$. Thus,

$$\gamma_A(x) = \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} - (1 + x^\tau)$$

and hence $\gamma_A(\zeta_n) = 0$ (n is even). Thus, the graph $(C_n^\tau)^c$ is singular, whenever n is even. For n odd, it can be checked that

$$\gamma_A(x) = \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} - (1 + x^\tau + x^{\tau+1}).$$

Consequently, $(C_n^\tau)^c$ is singular if and only if $\gamma_A(\zeta_n^k) = 0$, for some $k, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Or equivalently, $1 + (\zeta_n^k)^\tau + (\zeta_n^k)^{\tau+1} = 0$, for some $k, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$. This is equivalent to the statement that $\zeta_n^{k\tau}$ is a primitive 3-rd root of unity. Thus, $k\tau \equiv \frac{n}{3} \pmod{n}$, or equivalently, $\gcd(\tau, n) \mid \frac{n}{3}$. Thus, $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ and in this case, $\gcd(\tau, n)$ indeed divides $\frac{n}{3}$.

Now assume that $1 \leq i < \tau$. In this case, if A is the adjacency matrix of $(C_n^i)^c$, then $A = \mathbf{J} - A_i - I$. Consequently, its representer polynomial is $\gamma_A(x) = \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} - (1 + x^i + x^{n-i})$. Thus, $(C_n^i)^c$ is singular if and only if $\gamma_A(\zeta_n^k) = 0$, for some $k, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Or equivalently, $1 + \zeta_n^{ki} + \zeta_n^{-ki} = 0$, for some $k, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$. That is, ζ_n^{ki} is a primitive 3-rd root of unity. Thus, using the argument similar to one in the first part, one has $(C_n^i)^c$ is singular if and only if $3 \mid n$ and $\gcd(i, n) \mid \frac{n}{3}$. \square

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.15, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.16. *Fix a positive integer n and let C_n^c be the complement graph of the cycle graph C_n . Then the complement graph C_n^c is singular if and only if $3 \mid n$.*

We now obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for non-singularity of circulant graphs that were studied by Ruivivar [10]. In [10], the author studied two classes of graphs. For the sake of notational clarity, his notations have been slightly modified. Fix a positive integer $n \geq 3$ and let $1 \leq r < \tau = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. The first class of circulant graphs, denoted $C_n^{(r)}$, has the same vertex set as the vertex set of the cycle C_n and $\{x, y\}$ is an edge whenever the length of the smallest path from x to y in C_n is at most r . He called these graphs the r -th power graph of the cycle graph C_n . Note that $C_n^{(\tau)}$ is the complete graph. The second class of graphs, denoted $C(2n, r)$ is a graph on $2n$ vertices and its adjacency matrix is the sum of the adjacency matrices of $C_{2n}^{(r)}$ and C_{2n}^n , where $1 \leq r < n$. The next result appears as Theorem 2.2 of [10]. We give a separate proof for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 2.17 (Ruivivar [10]). *Let $n \geq 3$ and let $1 \leq r < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Then the graph $C_n^{(r)}$ is singular if and only if one of the following conditions hold:*

1. $\gcd(n, r) > 1$
2. $\gcd(n, r) = 1$, n is even and $\gcd(r + 1, n)$ divides $\frac{n}{2}$.

Proof. Let A be the adjacency matrix of the graph $C_n^{(r)}$. Then, by definition, the first row of A equals $[0, \underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_r, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{n-2r-1}, \underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_r]$ and $\gamma_A(x) = x\Gamma_A(x)$, where

$$\Gamma_A(x) = [1 + x + \dots + x^{r-1}] + x^{n-r-1}[1 + x + \dots + x^{r-1}] = \frac{x^r - 1}{x - 1}(1 + x^{n-r-1}).$$

Therefore, $C_n^{(r)}$ is singular if and only if $\Gamma_A(\zeta_n^d) = 0$, for some $d, 1 \leq d \leq n - 1$. Or equivalently either $(\zeta_n^d)^r - 1 = 0$ or $1 + (\zeta_n^d)^{n-r-1} = 0$.

If $(\zeta_n^d)^r - 1 = 0$ then $\gcd(r, n) > 1$ is the required condition as $1 \leq d \leq n - 1$. If $\gcd(r, n) = 1$ then we need $1 + (\zeta_n^d)^{n-r-1} = 0$. This implies that $d(r + 1) \equiv \frac{n}{2} \pmod{n}$. Which in turn gives the required result.

Thus, the proof of the theorem is complete. \square

The following result can be seen as a corollary to Lemma 1.7. But an idea of the proof is given for completeness.

Corollary 2.18. *Let $n \geq 3$ and let $1 \leq r < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Then the graph $(C_n^{(r)})^c$ is non-singular if and only if $\gcd(n, 2r + 1) = 1$.*

Proof. Let A be the adjacency matrix of $(C_n^{(r)})^c$. Then $[\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{r+1}, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-2r-1}, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_r]$ is the first row of A . Thus, using Remark 1.8, A is singular if and only if the circulant matrix with $[\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{n-2r-1}, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{2r+1}]$ as its first row is singular. Thus, using Lemma 1.7, A is singular if and only if $\gcd(2r + 1, n) > 1$. Hence, the required result follows. \square

Before proceeding with the next result that gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the graph $C(2n, r)$ to be singular, we state a result that appears as Proposition 1 in Kurshan & Odlyzko [8]

Lemma 2.19 (Kurshan & Odlyzko [8]). *Let m and n be positive integers with $m \neq n$ and let ζ_n be a primitive n -root of unity. Then there exists a unit $u \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_n]$ dependent on m, n and ζ_n such that*

$$\Phi_m(\zeta_n) = \begin{cases} pu, & \text{if } \frac{m}{n} = p^\alpha, \quad p \text{ a prime, } \alpha > 0; \\ (1 - \zeta_{p^\alpha})u, & \text{if } \frac{m}{n} = p^{-\alpha}, \quad p \text{ a prime, } \alpha > 0; \quad p \nmid m; \\ (1 - \zeta_{p^\alpha+1})^{p-1}u, & \text{if } \frac{m}{n} = p^{-\alpha}, \quad p \text{ a prime, } \alpha > 0; \quad p \mid m; \\ u, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.20. *Let n and r be positive integers such that the circulant graph $C(2n, r)$ is well defined. Then the circulant graph $C(2n, r)$ is singular if and only if $\gcd(n, 2r + 1) \geq 3$.*

Proof. Let A be the adjacency matrix of the graph $C(2n, r)$. Then observe that the first row of A equals $[0, \underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_r, \underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_{n-r-1}, \underbrace{1, 0, 0, \dots, 0}_{n-r-1}, \underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_r]$. Consequently,

$$\gamma_A(x) = x + x^2 + \dots + x^r + x^n + x^{2n-r} + \dots + x^{2n-1} = x\Gamma_A(x)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (x-1)\Gamma_A(x) &= x^r - 1 + x^{n-1}(x-1) + x^{2n-r-1}(x^r - 1) \\ &= x^r(1 - x^{2n-2r-1}) + (x^n - 1) - (x^{n-1} - x^{2n-1}) \\ &= (x^n - 1)(x^{n-1} + 1) - x^r(x^{2n-2r-1} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us assume that $\gcd(n, 2r + 1) = d \geq 3$. Then $(\zeta_{2n}^{2n/d} - 1)\Gamma_A(\zeta_{2n}^{2n/d}) = 0$ as

$$\left(\zeta_{2n}^{2n/d}\right)^n = \left(\zeta_{2n}^{2n}\right)^{n/d} = 1 = \left(\zeta_{2n}^{2n}\right)^{(2r+1)/d} = \left(\zeta_{2n}^{2n/d}\right)^{2r+1} = \left(\zeta_{2n}^{2n/d}\right)^{2n-2r-1}.$$

Hence, the circulant graph $C(2n, r)$ is singular.

Conversely, let us assume that the graph $C(2n, r)$ is singular. This implies that there exists an eigenvalue of $C(2n, r)$ that equals zero. That is, there exists a k , $1 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$, such that $\gamma_A(\zeta_{2n}^k) = 0$. We will now show that if $\gcd(n, 2r + 1) = 1$ then the expression $(x - 1)\Gamma_A(x)$ evaluated at $x = \zeta_{2n}^k$ can never equal zero, for any k , $1 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$, and this will complete the proof of the result.

We need to consider two cases depending on whether k is odd or k is even. Let k be even, say $k = 2m$, for some m , $1 \leq m < n$. Then evaluating $(x - 1)\Gamma_A(x)$ at $x = \zeta_{2n}^{2m}$ and using $\gcd(n, 2r + 1) = 1$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\zeta_{2n}^{2m}\right)^n - 1\right] \left[\left(\zeta_{2n}^{2m}\right)^{(n-1)} + 1\right] - \left(\zeta_{2n}^{2m}\right)^r \left[\left(\zeta_{2n}^{2m}\right)^{2n-2r-1} - 1\right] \\ &= -\left(\zeta_{2n}^{2m}\right)^r \left[\left(\zeta_{2n}^{2m}\right)^{-(2r+1)} - 1\right] \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let k be odd, say $k = 2m + 1$, for some m , $0 \leq m \leq n - 1$. Then evaluating $(x - 1)\Gamma_A(x)$ at $x = \zeta_{2n}^{2m+1}$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[(\zeta_{2n}^{2m+1})^n - 1 \right] \left[(\zeta_{2n}^{2m+1})^{(n-1)} + 1 \right] - (\zeta_{2n}^{2m+1})^r \left[(\zeta_{2n}^{2m+1})^{2n-2r-1} - 1 \right] \\
&= -2 \left[-\zeta_{2n}^{-(2m+1)} + 1 \right] - \zeta_{2n}^{-(2m+1)(r+1)} \left[1 - \zeta_{2n}^{(2m+1)(2r+1)} \right] \\
&= -\frac{\zeta_{2n}^{2m+1} - 1}{\zeta_{2n}^{(2m+1)(r+1)}} \left[-2\zeta_{2n}^{(2m+1)r} + \frac{\zeta_{2n}^{(2m+1)(2r+1)} - 1}{\zeta_{2n}^{(2m+1)} - 1} \right] \\
&= -\frac{\zeta_{2n}^{2m+1} - 1}{\zeta_{2n}^{(2m+1)(r+1)}} \left[-2\zeta_{2n}^{(2m+1)r} + \prod_{\ell|(2r+1), \ell \neq 1} \Phi_\ell(\zeta_{2n}^{2m+1}) \right] \tag{2.2}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that, ζ_{2n}^{2m+1} is a d -th primitive root of unity, for some d dividing $2n$. As $\gcd(2r+1, 2n) = 1$, $\gcd(2r+1, d) = 1$. Thus, using Lemma 2.19, we get $\prod_{\ell|(2r+1), \ell \neq 1} \Phi_\ell(\zeta_{2n}^{2m+1})$ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]$.

That is, $\left| \prod_{\ell|(2r+1), \ell \neq 1} \Phi_\ell(\zeta_{2n}^{2m+1}) \right| = 1$. Hence, in Equation (2.2), the term in the parenthesis cannot be zero. Thus, we have proved the result for the odd case as well.

Thus, the proof of the result is complete. \square

Remark 2.21. *We would like to mention here that the necessary part of Theorem 2.20 was stated and proved by Ruivivar (see Theorem 2.1 in [10]).*

We will now try to understand the complement graph $C(2n, r)^c$ of $C(2n, r)$.

Lemma 2.22. *Let n and r be positive integers such that the circulant graph $C(2n, r)$ is well defined. Then $C(2n, r)^c$ is non-singular if and only if the following conditions hold:*

1. n and r have the same parity,
2. $\gcd(n, r + 1) = 1$, and
3. the highest power of 2 dividing n is strictly smaller than the highest power of 2 dividing $n - r$.

Proof. Let A be the adjacency matrix of $C(2n, r)^c$. Then $\underbrace{[0, 0, \dots, 0]_{r+1}}_{r+1} \underbrace{[1, 1, \dots, 1]_{n-r-1}}_{n-r-1} \underbrace{[0, 1, 1, \dots, 1]_{n-r-1}}_{n-r-1} \underbrace{[0, 0, \dots, 0]_r}_r$

is the first row of A . Note that

$$\Gamma_A(x) = (1 + x^{n-r}) \frac{x^{n-r-1} - 1}{x - 1}.$$

Now, let us assume that the graph $C(2n, r)^c$ is non-singular. This means that $\Gamma_A(\zeta_{2n}^k) \neq 0$, for any $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 1$.

Note that if n and r have opposite parity then $\gcd(2n, n - r - 1) = d \geq 2$ and hence $\Gamma_A(\zeta_{2n}^{2n/d}) = 0$. Also, if n and r have the same parity and $\gcd(n, r + 1) = d > 2$ then $n - r - 1$ is odd and $\gcd(2n, n - r - 1) = \gcd(n, n - r - 1) = \gcd(n, r + 1) = d$. Hence, in this case again, $\Gamma_A(\zeta_{2n}^{2n/d}) = 0$.

Now, the only case that we need to check is the following:
 n and r have the same parity, $\gcd(n, r+1) = 1$ and the highest power of 2 dividing n is greater than or equal to the highest power of 2 dividing $n-r$.

As n and r have the same parity and $\gcd(n, r+1) = 1$, we get $\gcd(2n, n-r-1) = 1$ and thus

$$\binom{k}{2n}^{n-r-1} - 1 \neq 0, \text{ for any } k = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1.$$

Thus, we need to check for the condition on k so that $1 + \binom{k}{2n}^{n-r} = 0$. This is true if and only if $\gcd(2n, n-r) \mid n$, or equivalently, the highest power of 2 dividing n is greater than or equal to the highest power of 2 dividing $n-r$.

Thus, we have the required result. \square

Remark 2.23. *Observe that using Lemma 2.22, the graph $C(2n, r)^c$ is non-singular, whenever n and r are both odd and $\gcd(n, r+1) = 1$. Such numbers can be easily computed. For example, a class of such graphs can be obtained by choosing two positive integers s and t with $s > t$ and defining $n = 2^s - 2^t + 1$ and $r = 2^t - 1$.*

3 Generalizations

In this section, we look at a few classes of graphs/digraphs, which are generalizations of the graphs that appear in Section 2. We first start with a class of circulant digraphs.

Consider a circulant matrix A whose first row contains r and s consecutive 1's separated by t consecutive 0's, where each of r, s and t are non-negative integers. That is, the vector $\underbrace{[1, 1, \dots, 1]}_r, \underbrace{[0, 0, \dots, 0]}_t, \underbrace{[1, 1, \dots, 1]}_s, \underbrace{[0, 0, \dots, 0]}_{n-(r+t+s)}$ is the first row of A . If $s = 0$, then it is an r -element circulant digraph studied in Lemma 1.7. These circulant digraphs will be called an (r, s, t) -element circulant digraph. The next result gives a few conditions under which the (r, s, t) -element circulant digraph is singular.

Lemma 3.24. *Let X be an (r, s, t) -element circulant digraph on n vertices. Then the graph X is singular if*

1. $\gcd(n, s, r) > 1$, or
2. $\gcd(n, s) = 1$ and one of the following condition holds:
 - (a) there exists $d \geq 2$ such that $d \mid t$ and $s = \ell r$, for some positive integer $\ell \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$.
 - (b) n is even, there exists an even integer d such that $(r+t)$ is an odd multiple of $\frac{d}{2}$ and $s = \ell r$, for some positive integer $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$.

Proof. Proof of Part 1: Observe that the representer polynomial of the (r, s, t) -element circulant digraph is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_A(x) &= 1 + x + \dots + x^{r-1} + x^{r+t} + \dots + x^{r+s+t-1} \\ &= \frac{x^r - 1}{x - 1} + x^{r+t} \frac{x^s - 1}{x - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Or equivalently,

$$(x-1)\gamma_A(x) = (x^r - 1) + x^{r+t}(x^s - 1). \quad (3.3)$$

Let $\gcd(n, r, s) = k > 1$. Then it can be easily checked that $\zeta_n^{n/k}$ is a root of Equation (3.3). Thus, X is singular. This completes the proof of the first part.

Proof of Part 2.2a: Let us assume that $\gcd(n, s) = 1$. Also, let us assume that there exists a positive integer $d \geq 2$ such that $d \mid t$ and $s = \ell r$, for some positive integer $\ell \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$. So, there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\ell = \beta d - 1$. In this case, using Equation (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\zeta_n^{(n/d)} - 1)\gamma_A(\zeta_n^{(n/d)}) &= (\zeta_n^{(rn/d)} - 1) \left(1 + \zeta_n^{(r+t)n/d} \frac{\zeta_n^{\ell(rn/d)} - 1}{\zeta_n^{(rn/d)} - 1} \right) \\ &= (\zeta_n^{rn/d} - 1) \left(1 + \zeta_n^{(r+t)n/d} \frac{\zeta_n^{-(rn/d)} - 1}{\zeta_n^{(rn/d)} - 1} \right) \\ &= (\zeta_n^{rn/d} - 1) (1 - \zeta_n^{(tn/d)}). \end{aligned}$$

As $d \mid t$, $\gamma_A(\zeta_n^{n/d}) = 0$. That is, we get the required result in this case as well.

Proof of Part 2.2b: Let us assume that $\gcd(n, s) = 1$, $n = 2m$. Also, let us assume that there exists an even positive integer d such that $r + t$ is an odd multiple of $\frac{d}{2}$ and $s = \ell r$, for some positive integer $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$. Then there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\ell = \beta d + 1$. In this case, using Equation (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\zeta_n^{(n/d)} - 1)\gamma_A(\zeta_n^{(n/d)}) &= (\zeta_n^{(rn/d)} - 1) \left(1 + \zeta_n^{(r+t)n/d} \frac{\zeta_n^{\ell(rn/d)} - 1}{\zeta_n^{(rn/d)} - 1} \right) \\ &= (\zeta_n^{rn/d} - 1) \left(1 + \zeta_n^{(r+t)n/d} \frac{\zeta_n^{(rn/d)} - 1}{\zeta_n^{(rn/d)} - 1} \right) \\ &= (\zeta_n^{rn/d} - 1) (1 + \zeta_n^{(r+t)n/d}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, under the given conditions, the corresponding digraph X is singular.

Hence, the proof of the lemma is complete. \square

Thus, the above result gives conditions under which the generalized (r, s, t) -digraphs, for non-negative values of r, s and t , are singular. We will now define another class of circulant digraphs and obtain conditions under which the circulant digraphs are singular. These graphs are also a generalization of the graphs studied in Lemma 1.7.

Let i, j, k and ℓ be non-negative integers such that $j > \ell$ and $kj + i + \ell < n$. Consider a class of circulant digraphs, denoted $C_n^{i,j,k,\ell}$, that has $\gamma_{A(C_n^{i,j,k,\ell})}(x) = \sum_{t=0}^k \sum_{s=i}^{i+\ell} x^{s+tj}$ as its representer polynomial. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{A(C_n^{i,j,k,\ell})}(x) &= x^i(1 + x + \cdots + x^\ell)(1 + x^j + x^{2j} + \cdots + x^{kj}) \\ &= x^i \frac{x^{\ell+1} - 1}{x - 1} \cdot \frac{x^{(k+1)j} - 1}{x^j - 1} \\ &= x^i \prod_{s|\ell+1, s \neq 1} \Phi_s(x) \cdot \prod_{t|(k+1)j, t \neq j} \Phi_t(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Hence, we have the following theorem which we state without proof.

Theorem 3.25. *Let i, j, k and ℓ be non-negative integers with $j > \ell$ and $kj + i + \ell < n$. Then the circulant digraph $C_n^{i,j,k,\ell}$, defined above, is singular if and only if either $\gcd(\ell + 1, n) \geq 2$ or $\gcd(k + 1, \frac{n}{\gcd(n,j)}) \geq 2$.*

Remark 3.26. *Note that we can vary the non-negative integers i, j, k and ℓ to define quite a few class of circulant digraphs. For example, it can be seen that the graphs $G(r, t)$ that are given by Doob [5] are a particular case of the above class. Also, it can be easily verified that Theorem 3.25 is a generalization of Lemma 1.7.*

Conclusion

In the first section, we have obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for a few known classes of circulant graphs/digraphs to be singular. We found these necessary and sufficient conditions by using Lemma 1.9. The graphs/digraphs that were studied in Section 2 have been generalized to (r, s, t) -circulant digraphs for non-negative integers r, s and t , and the circulant digraph $C_n^{i,j,k,\ell}$, under certain restrictions. A necessary and sufficient condition for the digraphs $C_n^{i,j,k,\ell}$ to be singular is also obtained. Some necessary conditions are given under which the (r, s, t) -circulant digraphs are singular.

It will be nice to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the generalized (r, s, t) -digraphs to be singular.

References

- [1] Tom M. Apostol. "Introduction to Analytic Number theory," *Springer-Verlag*, New York, 1976.
- [2] N. Biggs. "Algebraic Graph Theory," Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 67, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York and London, 1974.
- [3] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty. *Graph Theory with Applications*. The Macmillan Press Ltd., London, 1976.
- [4] Philip J. Davis. "Circulant matrices," A Wiley-interscience publications, 1979.
- [5] Michael Doob. 'Circulant graphs with $\det(-A(G)) = -\deg(G)$: codeterminants with K_n ,' *Linear algebra and its applications*, 340: 87 - 96, 2002.
- [6] David S. Dummit and Richard M. Foote. "Abstract Algebra," Second Edition, John Wiley and Sons, 1999.
- [7] D. Geller, I. Kra, S. Popescu and S. Simanca. 'On circulant matrices,' (<http://www.math.sunysb.edu/~sorin/eprints/circulant.pdf>).
- [8] R. P. Kurshan and A. M. Odlyzko. 'Values of cyclotomic polynomials at roots of unity,' *Math. Scand.* 49, 15 - 35, 1981.
- [9] Victor V. Prasolov. "Polynomials," Springer, 2001.
- [10] Leonor Aquino-Ruivivar. 'Singular and Nonsingular Circulant Graphs,' *Journal of Research in Science, Computing and Engineering (JRSCE)*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 2006.

- [11] S. R. Searle. 'On Inverting Circulant Matrices,' *Linear algebra and its applications*, 25: 77 - 89, 1979.