


RESEARCH ARTICLE

Cost-effective synthesis of 2D molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) nanocrystals: An exploration of the influence on cellular uptake, cytotoxicity, and bio-imaging

Dhirendra Sahoo¹, Sushreesangita P. Behera², Jyoti Shakya³, Bhaskar Kaviraj^{1*}

1 Department of Physics, School of Natural Sciences, Shiv Nadar University, Uttar Pradesh, Greater Noida, India, **2** Faculty of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, South Asian University, New Delhi, India, **3** Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

* bhaskar.kaviraj@snu.edu.in



Abstract

Ultrasmall MoS₂ nanocrystals have unique optoelectronic and catalytic properties that have acquired significant attraction in many areas. We propose here a simple and economical method for synthesizing the luminescent nanocrystals MoS₂ using the hydrothermal technique. In addition, the synthesized MoS₂ nanocrystals display photoluminescence that is tunable according to size. MoS₂ nanocrystals have many advantages, such as stable dispersion, low toxicity and luminescent characteristics, offering their encouraging applicability in biomedical disciplines. In this study, human lung cancer epithelial cells (A549) are used to assess fluorescence imaging of MoS₂ nanocrystals. MTT assay, trypan blue assay, flow cytometry and fluorescence imaging results have shown that MoS₂ nanocrystals can selectively target and destroy lung cancer cells, especially drug-resistant cells (A549).

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Sahoo D, Behera SP, Shakya J, Kaviraj B (2022) Cost-effective synthesis of 2D molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) nanocrystals: An exploration of the influence on cellular uptake, cytotoxicity, and bio-imaging. PLoS ONE 17(1): e0260955. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260955>

Editor: Mahendra Singh Dhaka, Mohanlal Sukhadia University, INDIA

Received: June 4, 2021

Accepted: November 21, 2021

Published: January 18, 2022

Copyright: © 2022 Sahoo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper.

Funding: The author received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Introduction

Few-layered MoS₂ nanocrystal, one of the typical two-dimensional (2D) transition metal dichalcogenide materials, show the unique mechanical, optical, electrical, and chemical properties correlated with their ultrasound atomic layer structure and tendering them an appealing alternative to fluorescent dyes, and have attracted particular attention in the scientific uses. MoS₂ nanocrystals can be used in many biomedical applications because of their tunable size and adequate luminescence properties. It has been widely applied in medicine, drug delivery, diagnostics, and outstanding biocompatibility in living organisms [1,2].

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer patient fatalities in the United States. And throughout the world. Nearly as many Americans die from lung cancer each year as prostate, breast and colon cancers combined. Chemotherapy has always been one of the most common ways to treat cancer over the last few decades. However, chemotherapy creates some remedial barriers, such as serious side effects, low solubility and a tendency to drug resistance [3–5]. However, the trade-in nanotechnology is growing rapidly, and nanoparticles apply to a variety

of areas of our real-world applications [6]. For this reason, the possibility of communication of individuals with different types of nanoparticles is also underway. For this purpose, it is essential to study how nanoparticles can influence the human body because they can do so through respiration, skin contact or ingestion [7]. Despite the fact that nanocrystals have been produced and applied for several decades. Their impact on health and the environment has not been fully explored due to the complexity of how nanocrystals and their ingredients interact with cells [8].

The challenges of producing the synthesis and versatility of nanocrystal compositions and a wide spectrum of the available surface ligand still exist. A number of nanostructures, including carbon nanotubes, graphene, fullerenes and quantum dots, have been synthesized because they demonstrate the encouraging potential to overcome the shortcomings of chemotherapy drugs for cancer treatment. Corresponding to specific nanoparticles, two-dimensional (2D) nanoparticles have unique chemical, optical and electronic characteristics and are therefore provided with unique healing tools for biomedicine, particularly cancer treatment [9]. The bulk MoS_2 contains multilayered arrangements with weak van der Waals force of attraction between layers and strong S-Mo-S interlayer covalent bonding. This allows for easy isolation of a single layer of MoS_2 of bulk crystals. Therefore, mechanical exfoliation, electrochemical intercalation, liquid exfoliation, ultra-sonication and were investigated to produce a single- or few-layer MoS_2 . These methods also lack low productivity, complexity and time. In addition, synthetic MoS_2 production should be monitored to understand the maximum production yield. Thus, it is necessary to produce new approaches to the production of layered MoS_2 with the tunable size to investigate the implications of emerging applications [10,11].

Some important studies show the promising potential application of 2D nanoparticles in targeted cancer control [8,12,13]. An additional effort has been made to look for other similar 2D materials in relation to distinctive unique properties. The MoS_2 nanoparticle, like a variety of metallic transition dichalcogenides (TMDC), has demonstrated potential applications in nanoelectronics, energy storage devices, and electrochemical storage, catalysis, biomedical science, and diagnostic applications. Despite remarkable progress in MoS_2 nanoparticle synthesis, it is necessary to find out a facile approach to produce MoS_2 nanocrystals with strong fluorescence. MoS_2 is an excellent material with high dielectric, thin and highly available surface area that constantly increase the path of light propagation in the sample. It also shows the considerable formation of surface defects having Mo and S vacancies during the synthesis process and acts as dipoles under the irradiation of light to boost interface polarization and defect dipole polarization for more attenuation of light. In recent years, the synthesis and use of atomically thin MoS_2 nanocrystals has received considerable attention in materials research [14–16].

The synthesis and treatment of MoS_2 nanocrystals for the viability of A549 cancer cells is demonstrated here. This work aims to show an eco-friendly, facile and reproducible synthesis method based on a hydrothermal process for the scalable production of MoS_2 nanocrystals (2–10 nm). This work explains the preparation of thin-layer MoS_2 nanocrystals using a single-step hydrothermal method using sodium molybdate and thioacetamide as sources of molybdate and sulfur, respectively. Cost-effective and facile approaches to controllable synthesis of MoS_2 nanocrystals are quiet in critical demand, and the potential biomedical application of these MoS_2 nanocrystals should be developed. As the study of the TMDs, nanomaterial toxicity is still in its origin with hardly a few assessments conducted on a mono or few-layer TMDs (e.g., MoS_2 , WS_2). It is not surprising that no consistent research has yet been conducted to detect the toxicity of TMDs. It is necessary to start studying the toxicological consequences of nanomaterials to indicate the health risks they can claim. It is fairly well researched that bulk TMDs have low toxicity. Yet their research on nanostructures is still inadequate and poorly

understood. The chemically exfoliated layers of MoS₂ nanosheet are more toxic, which is due to the increase in surface area. Low toxicity of MoS₂ and thin WS₂ was observed from their cellular evaluations using MTT and water-soluble tetrazolium salt (WST-8) analyses on A549 cells [13,17–23]. The toxicity of the MoS₂ sample is also caused by the organic solvents used in chemical exfoliation. It has been an impediment to the accurate analysis of its toxicity for a duration. However, it is difficult to synthesize the thin layer MoS₂ without chemical exfoliation. Atomically thin MoS₂ films prepared using mechanical exfoliation and chemical vapor deposition methods yield very less amount than sufficient for biological testing [24–29]. Jun Lou et al. confirmed the low toxicity of molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) as a thin layer and microparticles. Also, allergy tests evaluated on guinea pig skin to examine the allergic effect. The results showed lower toxicity of MoS₂ nano-structures to the biological medium when the mass is less than 0.016 mg mL⁻¹ [30].

This article details a straightforward, low-cost approach that employs an aqueous hydrothermal method for synthesizing two-dimensional molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) nanocrystals and their potential applications to explore cytotoxicity, bioimaging, and cellular uptake of A549 cancer cells. The high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) and atomic force microscopy (AFM) results revealed that the sizes of the as-grown polydisperse MoS₂ nanocrystals range between 2 and 5 nm; their corresponding thicknesses were verified to lie between 1 and 2 nm, a shred of clear evidence that a few-layer of MoS₂ nanocrystals have been synthesized. Photoluminescence (PL) and time-resolved PL spectra for the MoS₂ nanocrystals exhibited a strong emission in the blue region with a further slow decay constant.

Hence, in this report, the human lung carcinoma epithelial cell line (A549) after 24 hours exposure to the MoS₂ nanocrystals was estimated and interpreted by applying the methyl-thiazolyl diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) and water-soluble trypan blue assays. A549 cell line was favourably preferred for this research because the lungs are expected to be the first place in which TMD occupies and communicates with the whole body when breathed into the respiratory tract. MTT and trypan blue assays are founded cell viability assays that act in the same way. The number of viable cells after treating with the MoS₂ will be comparable to the formation product's color intensity. By using both MTT and trypan blue assays in our research, we could be convinced that the cytotoxicity results are assured if the order collected from each assay were consistent and complemented each other. In this direction, we analyzed the sensitivity of A549 cells to the tested nanomaterials. Cell viability was monitored using blue trypan and MTT assays. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation produced by MoS₂ nanocrystals was also studied. Our research is also based on morphologic studies with the use of microscopic study.

Experimental details

Materials and reagents

Sodium molybdate dihydrate (Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O) and thioacetamide (CH₃CSNH₂) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. A549 Cell lines (a human alveolar epithelial cell line) was procured from the American Type Cell Culture (ATCC).

Synthesis of MoS₂ nanocrystals

All the chemicals applied in the study were scientific-grade and used as such. The synthesis procedure details are as follows: 0.8 g (3.3 mMol) of sodium molybdate dihydrate (Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O) was added into 50 ml of deionized water, and then 0.7 g (9.31 mMol) of thioacetamide (CH₃CSNH₂) was mixed into the aqueous solution while stirring at room temperature. The solution mixture was carried into a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave loaded

with the aqueous solution up to 60% of the full capacity, then sealed and kept at 200°C for 24 hours. The collected black precipitates were centrifuged, cleaned with distilled water and ethanol five times, and then dried inside a vacuum oven at 60°C for 12 hours.

Characterization details

The UV-2401 (Shimadzu Corporation) spectrophotometer was used to study the absorption spectra of as-synthesized nanocrystal and bulk powder. The crystal structure of the bulk powder and as-grown nanocrystal was examined through the Rigaku Miniflex diffractometer with typical X-ray tube (Cu K α radiation, 40 KV, 30 mA) and Hypix-400 MF 2D hybrid pixel array detector (HPAD) and the corresponding structure obtained from the analysis by High-score plus software. The size distribution and morphology of MoS₂ nanocrystals were checked in the non-contact mode by Park XE-70 atomic force microscope (AFM). Structural analysis was carried out using a transmission electron microscope (TEM) (Model JEOL JEM-2100F) performed at accelerating voltage 200 kV. TEM analysis was made by drop casting the diluted MoS₂ dispersion over the carbon-coated copper grid, followed by proper drying. The Raman spectra of the MoS₂ nanocrystal was taken with the Renishaw Raman microscopes help using 532 nm (0.3 mW) laser, 10-second scans acquired with the laser 20-x objective of an Olympus microscope. PL spectra were obtained with Fluoromax 4C HORIBA Scientific Spectro-fluorometer upon excitation of a spectrum of wavelengths using 450 W Xe lamp. The lifetime analysis was carried using the same HORIBA equipment with a PPD detector and nano led-320 excitation source (peak wavelength: 321 nm, pulse duration < 1.0 ns), and the result was interpreted using Data Station software. PL decay profile was obtained by time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) method to know the recombination mechanism of photo-excited charge carriers.

Cell culture

A549 cells were cultured in a humidified incubator at 37°C and 5% CO₂ and maintained in RPMI 1640 culture medium supplemented with 2 mM glutamine, 4.5g glucose per litre, 10 mM HEPES buffer pH 7.2, gentamycin (10 µg/ml), and fetal bovine serum (10% V/V).

In vitro cell viability assay

A549 cells [0.3×10⁶/ml/well] were seeded in triplicate in 24 well culture plates, treated with 5, 10 and 20 µg/ml of MoS₂ for 24 hours, cells were harvested by trypsinization, and recoveries of trypan blue excluding viable cells proportion in the cell population was analyzed by cell counting using a hemocytometer. For MTT assay, cells [1×10⁴/ml/well] were seeded in a 96 well plate in triplicate for 24 hours and grown to 70 to 80% confluence. The cells were then incubated with fresh media containing 5, 10, and 20 µg/ml of MoS₂ for 24 hours. Cells with only RPMI media served as the negative control. Following treatment, the cells were incubated with MTT (20 µL/well from 5 mg/mL stock) for 4 h. Mitochondrial dehydrogenases of viable cells reduce the yellowish water-soluble MTT to water-insoluble formazan crystals, which were solubilized with the addition of DMSO. The medium was then removed, and 150 µL of DMSO was added into each well to dissolve formazan crystals. Cell viability was measured by MTT assay, and absorbance was recorded at 560 nm.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) measurement

To detect the production of ROS, A549 cells [0.5 ×10⁶/ml/well] were cultured in each well of a 6 well plate, treated with or without 10 and 20 µg/ml of MoS₂ for 24 hours. Cells were washed

with PBS twice and incubated with 3 μM of H₂DCFDA dye in PBS for 30 minutes in the dark at 37 °C. Production of ROS inside the A549 cell in response to MoS₂ was analyzed by NIS-Nikon fluorescence microscopy at 10X magnification.

Cellular uptake MoS₂ by A549 cells

To study the uptake of MoS₂, cells [$0.3 \times 10^6/\text{ml}/\text{well}$] were cultured in each well of 12 well plates; after 24 hours of incubation, the cells were treated with or without 5, 10, and 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ of MoS₂ for 24 hours respectively. Then cells were trypsinized, washed twice with PBS, and the uptake was evaluated on a flow cytometer, BD FACS Verse.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were executed in triplicate, and results were expressed in Mean \pm SEM.

Results and discussion

MoS₂ nano-crystals sample was prepared using a one-step hydrothermal method wherein sodium molybdate and thioacetamide were used as sources of molybdate and Sulphur, respectively. The overall synthesis methodology is shown in Fig 1. The synthesis process is outlined in the experimental segment. To probe the optical properties, the UV absorption spectra of the nanocrystals and the bulk MoS₂ were studied (Fig 2(A)). The excitonic peaks at positions A and B show the direct band-to-band transition at the K-point of the Brillouin zone. Furthermore, C and D peaks show the direct transition from the split valence band to the conduction band at the Brillouin zone's M-point. The energy splitting within various absorbance peaks ("A & B" and "C & D") in the bulk MoS₂ results from spin-orbit coupling and inter-layer coupling. The energy splitting rises steadily with the reduction of layers number starting from the bulk sample. The absorption spectra of MoS₂ nanocrystal with a strong excitonic peak near the ultraviolet regime at 224 nm confirm the synthesis of a few nanometer particles [31]. The




Fig 1. Schematic illustration of the steps for synthesis of spherical MoS₂ nanocrystals.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260955.g001>

crystal structure of bulk and nanocrystal MoS₂ was studied using the X-ray diffraction (XRD) technique, as shown in Fig 2(B). The XRD spectra of bulk 2H-MoS₂ show an intense peak at $2\theta = 14.4^\circ$, which is assigned to (002) plane, along with other diffraction peaks, respectively (JCPDF-00-037-1492). Notably, the peak of the (002) position of MoS₂ nanocrystals is becoming broad, symbolizing the lateral size reduction of the nanocrystals [32]. The reduction of intensity at (002) peak along the c-axis shows that the nanocrystals are few layers and too thin to be identified by XRD, which is exactly matching with the AFM and TEM results. Fig 2(C) reveals the characteristic Raman spectra of bulk powder and as-synthesized nanocrystals. The E_{2g}^1 mode appears from the in-plane vibrations of two S atoms with respect to the Mo atom, and A_{1g} mode results from out of plane vibration of S atoms only. The frequency difference (Δk) between the two Raman modes gives an idea about layer thickness. It has been seen that the Δk value for synthesized nanocrystals decreases to 24 cm^{-1} as compared to bulk MoS₂ powder having a Δk value of 26 cm^{-1} [15,33]. However, the quantum size effect is accountable for tuning 2D TMDs nanocrystal's optical properties. The PL emission spectra of as-synthesized MoS₂ nanocrystals were studied under different excitation wavelengths ranging from 300 to 420 nm as shown in Fig 2(D). PL in MoS₂ nanocrystals arises due to the excitation recombination at the electron or hole trap formed by uncompensated positive or negative charge at the dangling bond. An intense emission peak is observed at 460 nm under an excitation wavelength of 320 nm, while the intensity of emission spectra is continuously reduced and red-shifted with a further increase of excitation wavelength. Here, the excitation-dependent PL measurements prove the poly-dispersive nature of MoS₂ nanocrystals. The excitation-dependent spectra indicate polydispersity of the MoS₂ nanocrystals distributions, which is vital of excitation recombination at the electron (hole) trap formed by the uncompensated positive




Fig 2. (a) UV-vis spectra of the as-prepared MoS₂ nanocrystals and bulk MoS₂. (b) XRD spectra (c) Raman spectra (d) Emission PL spectra of the as-prepared MoS₂ nanocrystals under different excitation wavelengths (e) Fluorescence lifetime of MoS₂ nanocrystals. The data are fitted using a tri-exponential decay model (black line) (f) Table shows PL lifetime (τ_1 , τ_2 and τ_3), and amplitude corresponding to different lifetime.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260955.g002>

Table 1. The collected lifetime (τ_1 , τ_2 and τ_3) and amplitude of i th lifetime components (A_i).

τ_1 (ns)	A_1	τ_2 (ns)	A_2	τ_3 (ns)	A_3	$\langle\tau\rangle$ (ns)
3.612	47.32	0.582	15.45	10.253	37.22	5.615

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260955.t001>

(negative) charge at the dangling bond from as-grown MoS₂ nanocrystals. This excitation-dependent PL response of fluorescent nanocrystals is useful for multicolor imaging purposes. As observed in earlier reports, the photoluminescence features of MoS₂ nanocrystals is proportional to their particle dimension, which is related to the quantum size-effect of semiconductor for nanocrystals. The red shift of the emission spectra is also observed because of the size effect. MoS₂ nanocrystal products have excellent dispersal, small size and PL properties in aqueous suspension and have encouraged biomedical applications [34–36]. The PL decay curve of MoS₂ nanocrystals is exhibited in Fig 2(E). The photoluminescence decay of the as-grown sample is performed using a 321 nm laser LED excitation source. Instrumental response function (IRF) (shown by the green dotted line) was recorded using dilute Ludox colloid to maximize Rayleigh scattering and decrease the scattering effect from impurities, cuvette, and solution. The emission monochromator was fixed to the same wavelength as the excitation source (321 nm), and both polarizers were set to perpendicular to measure the IRF. It has been fitted with a third-order exponential equation $I = I_0 + A_1e^{-t/\tau_1} + A_2e^{-t/\tau_2} + A_3e^{-t/\tau_3}$ with an average reduced weighted residual value of <1.2, and the fitted curve (solid red and pink line) convoluted with IRF is shown in Fig 2(E).

The curve is fitted with a third-order exponential function, appearing in three governing excitonic phenomena accompanied by nanosecond luminescence lifetime. The average PL lifetime of the as-prepared MoS₂ nanocrystals was determined to be 5.615 ns. The increase in nanocrystals' average PL lifetime is due to the defect state formation during synthesis [12,31]. The collected lifetime (τ_1 , τ_2 and τ_3) and amplitude of i th lifetime components (A_i) are shown in Table 1.

Fig 3(A) shows the HR-TEM image of MoS₂ nanocrystals presenting the hexagonal lattice structure. The MoS₂ nanocrystals with diameters of 2–10 nm are uniformly distributed; the size distribution of MoS₂ nanocrystals is sketched and shown in Fig 3(B). The high-crystalline nature of the nanocrystals with lattice spacing of 0.2 nm matching to the very clear lattice fringes along with the (006) directions (shown in inset of Fig 3(C)), this is indicative of the high crystalline order of the nanocrystals. The atomic force microscopy (AFM) image was obtained to identify the morphology and the thickness distribution of the MoS₂ nanocrystals, as shown in Fig 3(D) and 3(E) respectively. The nanocrystal thickness ranges from 1nm to 4 nm, indicating that the synthesized nanocrystals are of few-layer. The composition of as-prepared MoS₂ samples was studied by Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDAX). Fig 3(F) exhibits the EDAX image of MoS₂ nanocrystals. The EDAX study proved that the as-synthesized MoS₂ nanostructure comprises Mo and S elements, including oxygen atoms. Further, no other elements were found, which verified the purity of as-prepared samples [1,12,32].

Effect of MoS₂ on cell viability

For the cytotoxic effect of MoS₂, trypan blue cell exclusion dye and the MTT colorimetric test were used. After incubation of 5,10, 20 μ g/ml of MoS₂ for 24 hours, using the trypan blue method, it is observed that MoS₂ did not produce any significant cytotoxic effect on the A549 cell viability up to 10 μ g/ml of concentration. However, there was a significant decline in the number of viable cells (Fig 4(A)) in the highest concentration 20 μ g/ml MoS₂ used, compared to the control cells. For further confirmation, the effect of MoS₂ on the cell viability of A549




Fig 3. (a) HRTEM image of MoS₂ nanocrystals (b) shows the size distribution (c) show the lattice fringes (d) Corresponding AFM image of nanocrystals (e) Height profile corresponding the line in d and (f) EDAX spectrum of MoS₂ nanocrystals.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260955.g003>

cells by MTT assay was investigated. The result showed a concentration-dependent toxic profile with maximum toxicity observed at the highest concentration used, a 60% reduction in cell viability, which corresponds to the decrease in the absorbance measurement, as shown in Fig 4 (B). Therefore, the two cytotoxic tests confirmed the toxic response of MoS₂ to higher doses on the cell viability of A549 cells.

Effect of MoS₂ on the production of ROS in A549 cells

Several studies have suggested that ROS generation and oxidative stress production may be one of the underlying mechanisms, leading to nanoparticle-induced cytotoxicity in different cell types [37–40]. We analyzed the formation of reactive oxygen species in response to MoS₂, and found a significant production of ROS in cells at higher doses of MoS₂ (Fig 5(A)–5(C)). These results are also correlated with our result of the cytotoxic effect of particles and could be the cause of cell death seen at higher doses.

Cellular uptake of MoS₂ by A549 cells

To study MoS₂ uptake by A549 cells, flow cytometry was used after cellular incubation with MoS₂. The side scatters (SSC) value of flow cytometry reflects the evaluation at a 90° angle and correlates with the cell's concentration. It has been studied that the value of SSC is correlated




Fig 4. (A) Trypan blue exclusion and (B) MTT assay were used to assess the effects of MoS₂ nanocrystals on A549 cells viability.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260955.g004>

with complexity and internalized nanoparticles. Therefore, we compared the SSC value of both control and MoS₂ treated cells and observed an increase in the SSC value of cells treated with different concentrations of MoS₂ with respect to control cells (Fig 6(A)–6(D) and Table 2). A significant change in the SSC signal was recorded in the treated cells corresponding to the control (Fig 6(E)–6(H)). This increase in the SSC value is significantly higher (8.4% for 20 µg/ml concentration) than control (3.2%) at the highest doses used in the study. These findings indicate that the MoS₂ nanoparticles could be taken up by the A549 cells and internalized inside the cells, causing the generation of ROS and affecting cell viability. However, further study is needed to confirm a detailed picture of the internalization and localization of MoS₂ inside the cells and how exactly it is interfering and modulating the cell behaviour.




Fig 5. Effect of MoS₂ nanocrystals on ROS production in A549 cells (a) Control (b) 10 µg/ml and (c) 20 µg/ml.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260955.g005>




Fig 6. Uptake of MoS₂ nanoparticles by A549 cells. Side scatter (SSC) measure of (a) control, (b) 5 µg/ml, (c) 10 µg/ml and (d) 20 µg/ml subjects under flow cytometry. Flow cytometry analysis of the dot plot of mean SSC value distribution of control and different concentration of MoS₂ (e) control, (f) 5 µg/ml, (g) 10 µg/ml and (h) 20 µg/ml respectively.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260955.g006>

Conclusion

This study used a one-step, bottom-up, hydrothermal route to synthesize blue luminescence MoS₂ nanocrystals using sodium molybdate dihydrate (Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O) and thioacetamide (CH₃CSNH₂) as precursors. The as-prepared MoS₂ nanocrystals show a small lateral size distribution. Complete microscopic and spectroscopic techniques, including TEM, EDAX, AFM, XRD, UV-Vis, PL, TRPL, and Raman spectroscopy, were employed to confirm the

Table 2. SSC-A mean value of A549 cells after 24 h incubation with MoS₂.

Dosimetry	Control	MoS ₂ 5 µg/ml	MoS ₂ 10 µg/ml	MoS ₂ 20 µg/ml
A549 cells	114,313	116,970	120,697	121,444

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260955.t002>

morphology and composition of the MoS₂ nanocrystals. The PL properties, linked with the adequate biocompatibility and physiological stability of MoS₂ nanocrystals, directed to suitable bioimaging performance. Finally, cell viability measurements were performed with MTT and trypan blue assays after exposing human lung epithelial cell (A549) culture with different concentration of MoS₂ nanocrystals for the duration of 24 h. Treatment of A549 cells with MoS₂ nanocrystals caused a dose-dependent increase in ROS formation up to 20 µg/ml. Eventually, as the toxicity studies of MoS₂ nanocrystals are still in its start, further study will be needed from the scientific societies to resolve their health impacts in the long period and assure that the potential hazards are estimated before incorporating the MoS₂ nanocrystals into several biomedical application.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. D. Kabiraj and Mr. Ambuj Mishra, IUAC, New Delhi, for HRTEM measurements. We also appreciate Professor Subhasis Ghosh at Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi, India, for scientific discussion.

Author Contributions

Data curation: Dharendra Sahoo.

Formal analysis: Jyoti Shakya.

Investigation: Dharendra Sahoo.

Methodology: Dharendra Sahoo, Sushreesangita P. Behera.

Validation: Bhaskar Kaviraj.

Writing – original draft: Dharendra Sahoo.

Writing – review & editing: Dharendra Sahoo.

References

1. Biswas MC, Islam MT, Nandy PK, Hossain MM. Graphene Quantum Dots (GQDs) for Bioimaging and Drug Delivery Applications: A Review. *ACS Mater Lett.* 2021; 3: 889–911. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsmaterialslett.0c00550>
2. Zhang C, Zhang D, Liu J, Wang J, Lu Y, Zheng J, et al. Functionalized MoS₂-erlotinib produces hyperthermia under NIR. *J Nanobiotechnology.* 2019; 17: 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12951-018-0433-3> PMID: 30612562
3. Palumbo A, Tourlomousis F, Chang RC, Yang EH. Influence of Transition Metal Dichalcogenide Surfaces on Cellular Morphology and Adhesion. *ACS Appl Bio Mater.* 2018; 1: 1448–1457. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbm.8b00405> PMID: 34996249
4. Jonna S, Reuss JE, Kim C, Liu S V. Oral Chemotherapy for Treatment of Lung Cancer. *Front Oncol.* 2020; 10: 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.00001> PMID: 32076595
5. Zhang C, Leigh NB, Wu YL, Zhong WZ. Emerging therapies for non-small cell lung cancer. *J Hematol Oncol.* 2019; 12: 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13045-018-0686-1> PMID: 30606227
6. Wang S, Huang JK, Li M, Azam A, Zu X, Qiao L, et al. Growth of High-Quality Monolayer Transition Metal Dichalcogenide Nanocrystals by Chemical Vapor Deposition and Their Photoluminescence and Electrocatalytic Properties. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces.* 2021; 13: 47962–47971. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbm.1c14136> PMID: 34591469
7. Xu P, Liang F. Nanomaterial-based tumor photothermal immunotherapy. *Int J Nanomedicine.* 2020; 15: 9159–9180. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S249252> PMID: 33244232
8. Mohammadpour Z, Majidzadeh-A K. Applications of Two-Dimensional Nanomaterials in Breast Cancer Theranostics. *ACS Biomater Sci Eng.* 2020; 6: 1852–1873. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbm.9b01894> PMID: 33455353

9. Ghosal K, Sarkar K. Biomedical Applications of Graphene Nanomaterials and beyond. *ACS Biomater Sci Eng.* 2018; 4: 2653–2703. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.8b00376> PMID: 33434995
10. Halim A, Qu KY, Zhang XF, Huang NP. Recent Advances in the Application of Two-Dimensional Nanomaterials for Neural Tissue Engineering and Regeneration. *ACS Biomater Sci Eng.* 2021; 7: 3503–3529. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.1c00490> PMID: 34291638
11. Wang J, Sui L, Huang J, Miao L, Nie Y, Wang K, et al. MoS₂-based nanocomposites for cancer diagnosis and therapy. *Bioactive Mater.* 2021; 6: 4209–4242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioactmat.2021.04.021> PMID: 33997503
12. Kukkar M, Singh S, Kumar N, Tuteja SK, Kim KH, Deep A. Molybdenum disulfide quantum dot based highly sensitive impedimetric immunoassay for prostate specific antigen. *Microchimica Acta.* 2017; 184: 4647–4654. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00604-017-2506-7>
13. Hammoudeh SM, Hammoudeh AM, Hamoudi R. High-throughput quantification of the effect of DMSO on the viability of lung and breast cancer cells using an easy-to-use spectrophotometric trypan blue-based assay. *Histochem Cell Biol.* 2019; 152: 75–84. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00418-019-01775-7> PMID: 30778673
14. Liu M, Zhu H, Wang Y, Sevencan C, Li BL. Functionalized MoS₂-Based Nanomaterials for Cancer Phototherapy and Other Biomedical Applications. *ACS Mater Lett.* 2021; 3: 462–496. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsmaterialslett.1c00073>
15. Panchu SJ, Raju K, Swart HC, Chokkalingam B, Maaza M, Henini M, et al. Luminescent MoS₂ Quantum Dots with Tunable Operating Potential for Energy-Enhanced Aqueous Supercapacitors. *ACS Omega.* 2021; 6: 4542–4550. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.0c02576> PMID: 33644562
16. Santiago SRMS Wang HJ, Chen YT Hsu IJ, Wu C Bin Hsu KM, et al. Density-Dependent Carrier Recombination in MoS₂ Quantum Dots and Its Implications for Luminescence Sensing of Ammonium Hydroxide. *ACS Appl Nano Mater.* 2020; 3: 11630–11637. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.0c02818>
17. Bolotsky A, Butler D, Dong C, Gerace K, Glavin NR, Muratore C, et al. Two-Dimensional Materials in Biosensing and Healthcare: From in Vitro Diagnostics to Optogenetics and beyond. *ACS Nano.* 2019; 13: 9781–9810. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.9b03632> PMID: 31430131
18. Qu G, Xia T, Zhou W, Zhang X, Zhang H, Hu L, et al. Property-Activity Relationship of Black Phosphorus at the Nano-Bio Interface: From Molecules to Organisms. *Chem Rev.* 2020; 120: 2288–2346. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00445> PMID: 31971371
19. Bajpai S, Tiwary SK, Sonker M, Joshi A, Gupta V, Kumar Y, et al. Recent Advances in Nanoparticle-Based Cancer Treatment: A Review. *ACS Appl Nano Mater.* 2021; 4: 6441–6470. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.1c00779>
20. Tan E, Li BL, Ariga K, Lim CT, Garaj S, Leong DT. Toxicity of Two-Dimensional Layered Materials and Their Heterostructures. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2019; 30: 2287–2299. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.bioconjugchem.9b00502> PMID: 31381854
21. Moore C, Movia D, Smith RJ, Hanlon D, Lebre F, Lavelle EC, et al. Industrial grade 2D molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂): An in vitro exploration of the impact on cellular uptake, cytotoxicity, and inflammation. *2D Mater.* 2017; 4. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1583/aa673f>
22. Zhang X, Wu J, Williams GR, Niu S, Qian Q, Zhu LM. Functionalized MoS₂ -nanosheets for targeted drug delivery and chemo-photothermal therapy. *Colloids Surfaces B Biointerfaces.* 2019; 173: 101–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2018.09.048> PMID: 30273870
23. Chen T, Zou H, Wu X, Liu C, Situ B, Zheng L, et al. Nanozymatic Antioxidant System Based on MoS₂ Nanosheets. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces.* 2018; 10: 12453–12462. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.8b01245> PMID: 29595050
24. Wang X, Mansukhani ND, Guiney LM, Ji Z, Chang CH, Wang M, et al. Differences in the Toxicological Potential of 2D versus Aggregated Molybdenum Disulfide in the Lung. *Small.* 2015; 11: 5079–5087. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sml.201500906> PMID: 26237579
25. Xu X, Wu J, Meng Z, Li Y, Huang Q, Qi Y, et al. Enhanced Exfoliation of Biocompatible MoS₂ Nanosheets by Wool Keratin. *ACS Appl Nano Mater.* 2018; 1: 5460–5469. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.8b00788>
26. Lin H, Ji DK, Lucherelli MA, Reina G, Ippolito S, Samorì P, et al. Comparative Effects of Graphene and Molybdenum Disulfide on Human Macrophage Toxicity. *Small.* 2020; 16: 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sml.202002194> PMID: 32743979
27. Chen X, Park YJ, Kang M, Kang SK, Koo J, Shinde SM, et al. CVD-grown monolayer MoS₂ in bioabsorbable electronics and biosensors. *Nat Commun.* 2018; 9: 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02088-w> PMID: 29317637

28. Yu Y, Lu L, Yang Q, Zupanic A, Xu Q, Jiang L. Using MoS₂ Nanomaterials to Generate or Remove Reactive Oxygen Species: A Review. *ACS Appl Nano Mater.* 2021; 4: 7523–7537. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.1c00751>
29. Tang K, Wang L, Geng H, Qiu J, Cao H, Liu X. Molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂) nanosheets vertically coated on titanium for disinfection in the dark. *Arab J Chem.* 2020; 13: 1612–1623. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2017.12.013>
30. Liu T, Wang C, Gu X, Gong H, Cheng L, Shi X, et al. Drug delivery with PEGylated MoS₂ nano-sheets for combined photothermal and chemotherapy of cancer. *Adv Mater.* 2014; 26: 3433–3440. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201305256> PMID: 24677423
31. Li B, Jiang L, Li X, Ran P, Zuo P, Wang A, et al. Preparation of Monolayer MoS₂ Quantum Dots using Temporally Shaped Femtosecond Laser Ablation of Bulk MoS₂ Targets in Water. *Sci Rep.* 2017; 7: 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-016-0028-x> PMID: 28127051
32. Perumal Veeramalai C, Li F, Guo T, Kim TW. Highly flexible memristive devices based on MoS₂ quantum dots sandwiched between PMSQ layers. *Dalt Trans.* 2019; 48: 2422–2429. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c8dt04593c> PMID: 30688957
33. Zhu X, Ji X, Kong N, Chen Y, Mahmoudi M, Xu X, et al. Intracellular Mechanistic Understanding of 2D MoS₂ Nanosheets for Anti-Exocytosis-Enhanced Synergistic Cancer Therapy. *ACS Nano.* 2018; 12: 2922–2938. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.8b00516> PMID: 29406760
34. Li Y, Tang H, Zhu H, Kakinen A, Wang D, Andrikopoulos N, et al. Ultrasmall Molybdenum Disulfide Quantum Dots Cage Alzheimer's Amyloid Beta to Restore Membrane Fluidity. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces.* 2021; 13: 29936–29948. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.1c06478> PMID: 34143617
35. Debnath A, Saha S, Li DO, Chu XS, Ulissi ZW, Green AA, et al. Elimination of multidrug-resistant bacteria by transition metal dichalcogenides encapsulated by synthetic single-stranded DNA. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces.* 2021; 13: 8082–8094. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.1c06478> PMID: 33570927
36. Fahimi-Kashani N, Rashti A, Hormozi-Nezhad MR, Mahdavi V. MoS₂ quantum-dots as a label-free fluorescent nanoprobe for the highly selective detection of methyl parathion pesticide. *Anal Methods.* 2017; 9: 716–723. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ay03147a>
37. Domi B, Bhorkar K, Rumbo C, Sygellou L, Yannopoulos SN, Barros R, et al. Assessment of physico-chemical and toxicological properties of commercial 2D boron nitride nanopowder and nanoplatelets. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2021; 22: 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22020567> PMID: 33430016
38. Timpel M, Ligorio G, Ghiami A, Gavioli L, Cavaliere E, Chiappini A, et al. 2D-MoS₂ goes 3D: transferring optoelectronic properties of 2D MoS₂ to a large-area thin film. *npj 2D Mater Appl.* 2021; 5. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41699-021-00244-x>
39. Sobańska Z, Zapór L, Szparaga M, Stępnik M. Biological effects of molybdenum compounds in nano-sized forms under in vitro and in vivo conditions. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health.* 2020; 33: 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.13075/ijomeh.1896.01411> PMID: 31749447
40. Ji X, Ge L, Liu C, Tang Z, Xiao Y, Chen W, et al. Capturing functional two-dimensional nanosheets from sandwich-structure vermiculite for cancer theranostics. *Nat Commun.* 2021; 12: 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20314-w> PMID: 33397941